

NORMS OF DERIVATIVES
OF
MATRIX FUNCTIONS

Rajendra Bhatia

X, Y Banach spaces.

$E \subset X$ open set

$f: E \rightarrow Y$ is differentiable at x_0

if there exists a linear map

$$Df(x_0): X \rightarrow Y$$

such that

$$f(x_0+h) = f(x_0) + Df(x_0)(h) + o(h).$$

The derivative $Df(x_0)$ is given by

$$Df(x_0) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} f(x_0+th).$$

$$\|Df(x_0)\| := \sup_{\|h\|=1} \|Df(x_0)(h)\|.$$

$$\|f(x_0+h) - f(x_0)\| \leq \|Df(x_0)\| \|h\| + o(\|h\|).$$

(A "first order perturbation bound").

Higher derivatives. Taylor Expansion:

$$f(x_0+h) = f(x_0) + Df(x_0)(h) + D^2f(x_0)(h,h) + \dots$$

X, Y spaces of matrices/operators 2

$\|\cdot\|$ operator norm / "spectral norm"

$\|\cdot\|_2$ Frobenius norm

$f(A)$ could be

polynomials exp

$\otimes^k A$ $\wedge^k A$ $\det(A)$

indirectly defined $A = UP, QR, LR.$

For this talk

$f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ induced map

$f: \mathbb{H}_n(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_n$

$I = \mathbb{R}_+ = (0, \infty)$

$f: \mathbb{P}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_n$ (pos. def.)

(Special interest $f(t) = t^n$ $n \in \mathbb{R}$)

\mathbb{P}_n is open set in \mathbb{H}_n

$Df(A): \mathbb{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_n.$

f' (usual) derivative of the real fn. f

$$\begin{aligned} Df(A)(B) &:= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} f(A+tB) \\ &= f'(A)B \quad \text{if } A, B \text{ commute} \end{aligned}$$

Every scientist should once in a while try
a damned fool experiment.
(Littlewood's Miscellany).

Could we have

$$\|Df(A)\| = \|f'(A)\| \quad ? \quad (1)$$

i.e.;

$$\sup_{\|B\|=1} \|Df(A)(B)\| = \sup_{\substack{\|B\|=1 \\ AB=BA}} \|Df(A)(B)\| \quad ?$$

Def $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is in class \mathcal{D}
if (1) is true for f .

Is \mathcal{D} nonempty?

Interesting examples of $f \in \mathcal{D}$?

Characterisation of \mathcal{D} ?

Daleckii - Krein Formula

4

Choose an o.n.b. in which

$$A = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n).$$

Let $f^{[1]}(A)$ be the matrix with i, j entry

$$\frac{f(\lambda_i) - f(\lambda_j)}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \quad i \neq j$$

$$f'(\lambda_i) \quad i = j$$

Then

$$Df(A)(B) = f^{[1]}(A) \circ B \quad (\text{Schur prod.})$$

$$:= \left[\frac{f(\lambda_i) - f(\lambda_j)}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} b_{ij} \right] \quad (2)$$

So for the Frobenius norm

$$\|Df(A)(B)\|_2 \leq \max_{i,j} \left| \frac{f(\lambda_i) - f(\lambda_j)}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \right| \|B\|_2$$

By the Mean Value Theorem

$$\|Df(A)\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} \leq \|f'\|_\infty.$$

(Not so simple for $\|\cdot\|$ - no good dependence on matrix entries.)

Notation: $X \geq 0$ positive (semidefinite) 5

Def. f operator monotone if
 $A \geq B \Rightarrow f(A) \geq f(B).$

Loewner's Theorem

f oper. monotone $\iff f^{[1]}(A) \geq 0 \quad \forall A.$

Norm of $A \circ B$ complicated in general, but

Schur's Theorem If $A \geq 0$, then

$$\|A \circ B\| \leq \max_i a_{ii} \|B\|.$$

(Subsumed in Russo-Dye Theorem.

See R.B. Positive Definite Matrices.)

Combining Loewner's, Schur's and D.-K formula (2):

If f is operator monotone, then

$$\|Df(A)\| \leq \max_i |f'(\lambda_i)| = \|f'(A)\|$$

Thus f oper. monotone $\implies f \in \mathcal{D}$

Fact $f(t) = t^n$ op. mon. $\iff n \in [0, 1].$

$$f(A) = A^m \quad m=1, 2, 3, \dots$$

6

Matrix version of $(x^m)' = m x^{m-1}$

$$DA^m(B) = \sum_{\substack{j, k \geq 0 \\ j+k=m-1}} A^j B A^k$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow \|DA^m\| &\leq \sum_{j+k=m-1} \|A\|^j \|A\|^k = m \|A\|^{m-1} \\ &= \|mA^{m-1}\| = \|f'(A)\|. \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $f \in \mathcal{D}$.

Matrix version of $(e^x)' = e^x$

$$De^A(B) = \int_0^1 e^{(1-t)A} B e^{tA} dt$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow \|De^A(B)\| &\leq \int_0^1 \|e^{(1-t)A}\| \|B\| \|e^{tA}\| dt \\ &= \int_0^1 e^{(1-t)\|A\|} e^{t\|A\|} dt \|B\| \\ &= e^{\|A\|} \|B\| \quad (A \geq 0) \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow \|De^A\| = e^{\|A\|} = \|e^A\| = \|\exp'(A)\|.$$

So $\exp \in \mathcal{D}$

Neither A^m ($m > 1$) nor \exp is op. monotone

$$f(A) = A^{-n} \quad n > 0$$

7

Then $f \in \mathcal{D}$.

Idea of Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} A^{-n} &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda A} \lambda^{n-1} d\lambda \\ &=: \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda A} d\mu(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

Use the property of exp.

(Generally: if $f(t) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} d\mu(\lambda)$,
then $f \in \mathcal{D}$.)

Another argument using integrals shows

$$f(A) = A^n \quad n \geq 2 \quad \text{is in } \mathcal{D}.$$

$$\left(t^{n+2} = t^2 \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda/t} d\mu(\lambda), \quad n > 0 \right)$$

Thus $f(t) = t^n$ is in \mathcal{D} for

$$t \in (-\infty, 1] \cup [2, \infty)$$

Further analysis shows that for

$$1 < n < \sqrt{2}$$

$f(t) = t^n$ is not in \mathcal{D} .

(The failure occurs for 2×2 matrices.)

More surprising (?):

For $n \in [\sqrt{2}, 2]$

$f(t) = t^n$ is in \mathcal{D} .

Ideas involved in proofs:

$$\|A\|_S := \sup_{\|X\|=1} \|A \circ X\|$$

$$\text{If } A \geq 0 \quad \|A\|_S = \max_i a_{ii}$$

In general $\|A\|_S$ is difficult;
expression due to Haagerup:

$$\|A\|_S = \min \{ \|X\|_{\text{col}} \|Y\|_{\text{col}} : A = X^* Y \}.$$

Special things happen for $1 < r < 2$.
 2×2 case is difficult enough.

$$\text{Let } A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{bmatrix} \quad 0 < p < 1$$

$$f(t) = t^r \quad r > 1$$

$$\rightarrow f'(A) = \begin{bmatrix} r & \\ & r p^{r-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\|f'(A)\| = r$$

$$f^{[1]}(A) = \begin{bmatrix} r & \frac{1-p^r}{1-p} \\ \frac{1-p^r}{1-p} & r p^{r-1} \end{bmatrix} =: r S_r$$

Q: When is $\|S_r\|_S = 1$? (Then $f \in \mathcal{D}$)

Using Hangerup's Theorem one gets

Thm $f \in \mathcal{D}$ iff

$$\left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{1-p^r}{1-p} \right)^2 \leq \frac{1+p^{r-1}}{2}, \quad 0 < p < 1$$

(*)

1. Let $p > 0$ in (*)
 $r \geq \sqrt{2}$ necessary for $f \in \mathcal{D}$
 (So: $1 < r < \sqrt{2}$ $f(t) = t^r$ not in \mathcal{D}).
2. Cases
 $r \geq 2$, $\frac{3}{2} \leq r \leq 2$
 by fairly standard analysis
 $f \in \mathcal{D}$ for these values.

Very indirect / unusual argument to show that for $\sqrt{2} < r < 3/2$

$$\left(\frac{1 - p^r}{r(1-p)} \right)^2 \leq \frac{1 + p^{r-1}}{2} \quad \forall 0 < p < 1.$$

General ($n > 2$) case:

Interesting Theorem

Loewner: For $0 < r < 1$

$$f^{[1]}(A) := \frac{\lambda_i^r - \lambda_j^r}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}$$

is positive. (all eigenvalues positive)

For $1 < r < 2$ this matrix has one positive and $n-1$ negative eigenvalues.

11

For $n > 2$ this fact is used to find a Haagerup decomposition of $f^{[1]}(A)$ for evaluation of $\|f^{[1]}(A)\|_S$.

Problem of general interest

Inertia of the matrix

$$Q_r := \left[\frac{\lambda_i^r - \lambda_j^r}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \right]$$

$$0 < r < 1 \quad \text{In } Q_r = (n, 0, 0)$$

$$1 < r < 2 \quad \text{In } Q_r = (1, 0, n-1)$$

$$2 < r < 3 \quad ?$$

Higher derivatives: \mathcal{D}_k

\mathcal{D} not closed under products

not closed under sums

($t + 1/t$ is not in \mathcal{D} .)