

SOME ESTIMATES

FOR NON SELF-ADJOINT OPERATORS

Michel Crouzeix

Université de Rennes

Manchester, May 2008

The self-adjoint case

Let us consider a linear operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(D(A), H)$ on a Hilbert space H . If this operator is self-adjoint, we can use all the power of spectral theory. In particular the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ is real, and we have the relation

$$\|r(A)\| = \sup_{x \in \sigma(A)} |r(x)|,$$

which holds for all rational functions bounded on $\sigma(A)$.

The self-adjoint case

Let us consider a linear operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(D(A), H)$ on a Hilbert space H . If this operator is self-adjoint, we can use all the power of spectral theory. In particular the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ is real, and we have the relation

$$\|r(A)\| = \sup_{x \in \sigma(A)} |r(x)|,$$

which holds for all rational functions bounded on $\sigma(A)$.

This relation allows to define, via a density argument, $f(A) \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, for all continuous and bounded functions f on $\sigma(A)$, and the previous relation, with f instead of r , is still valid.

Example of application

If the linear operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(D(A), H)$ is self-adjoint and positive definite, we can in this way define $\cos(t\sqrt{A})$ and justify that

$$u(t) = \cos(t\sqrt{A}) u_0, \quad \text{with } u_0 \in D(A),$$

is the unique solution in $C^2(\mathbb{R}, H) \cap C^0(\mathbb{R}, D(A))$ of

$$\begin{cases} u''(t) + A u(t) = 0, & t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(0) = u_0, & u'(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Spectral sets, J. von Neumann, 1951

A set $X \subset \mathbb{C}$, with $\sigma(A) \subset X$, is called a spectral set for the operator A if the following inequality

$$\|r(A)\| \leq \sup_{z \in X} |r(z)|$$

holds for all rational functions bounded on $X \subset \mathbb{C}$.

Spectral sets

A set $X \subset \mathbb{C}$, with $\sigma(A) \subset X$, is called a spectral set for the operator A if the following inequality

$$\|r(A)\| \leq \sup_{z \in X} |r(z)|$$

holds for all rational functions bounded on $X \subset \mathbb{C}$.

Remark. If the operator A is normal, then $\sigma(A)$ is a spectral set for A .

Spectral sets

A set $X \subset \mathbb{C}$, with $\sigma(A) \subset X$, is called a spectral set for the operator A if the following inequality

$$\|r(A)\| \leq \sup_{z \in X} |r(z)|$$

holds for all rational functions bounded on $X \subset \mathbb{C}$.

von Neumann results

The unit disk $\mathbb{D} = \{z; |z| \leq 1\}$ is a spectral set for A iff $\|A\| \leq 1$.

The half-plane $\{z; \operatorname{Re} z \geq 0\}$ is a spectral set for A if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle Av, v \rangle \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } v \in D(A).$$

***K*-spectral sets**

A set $X \subset \mathbb{C}$, with $\sigma(A) \subset X$, is called a spectral set for the operator A if the following inequality

$$\|r(A)\| \leq \sup_{z \in X} |r(z)|$$

holds for all rational functions bounded on $X \subset \mathbb{C}$.

It is called a *K*-spectral set for the operator A if the following inequality holds

$$\|r(A)\| \leq K \sup_{z \in X} |r(z)|.$$

***K*-spectral sets**

A set $X \subset \mathbb{C}$, with $\sigma(A) \subset X$, is called a *K*-spectral set for the operator A if the following inequality

$$\|r(A)\| \leq K \sup_{z \in X} |r(z)|$$

holds for all rational functions bounded on $X \subset \mathbb{C}$.

Cauchy formula. Assume that the domain Ω contains $\sigma(A)$ (+ suitable hypotheses), we have

$$r(A) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\Omega} r(\sigma)(\sigma - A)^{-1} d\sigma,$$

This shows that Ω is a *K*-spectral set for the operator A with

$$K = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial\Omega} \|(\sigma - A)^{-1}\| |d\sigma|.$$

TWO USEFUL LEMMATA

Lemma 1. We assume that

$dm(t)$ is a bounded, complex-valued measure on E ,

$M(t) \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, $M(t) = M^*(t) \geq 0$, in E ,

r is a rational function bounded by 1 on E .

Then we have

$$\left\| \int_E r(t) M(t) dm(t) \right\| \leq \left\| \int_E M(t) |dm(t)| \right\|.$$

Lemma 1. We assume that

$$M(t) \in \mathcal{L}(H), \quad M(t) = M^*(t) \geq 0, \quad \text{in } E,$$

r is a rational function bounded by 1 on E .

Then we have

$$\left\| \int_E r(t) M(t) dm(t) \right\| \leq \left\| \int_E M(t) |dm(t)| \right\|.$$

Proof. We have

$$|\langle M(t)u, v \rangle| \leq \langle M(t)u, u \rangle^{1/2} \langle M(t)v, v \rangle^{1/2}$$

Lemma 1. We assume that

$$M(t) \in \mathcal{L}(H), \quad M(t) = M^*(t) \geq 0, \quad \text{in } E,$$

r is a rational function bounded by 1 on E .

Then we have

$$\left\| \int_E r(t) M(t) dm(t) \right\| \leq \left\| \int_E M(t) |dm(t)| \right\|.$$

Proof. We have

$$|\langle M(t)u, v \rangle| \leq \langle M(t)u, u \rangle^{1/2} \langle M(t)v, v \rangle^{1/2},$$

Thus, with

$$\mathcal{A} = \int_E r(t) M(t) dm(t), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B} = \int_E M(t) |dm(t)|,$$

$$|\langle \mathcal{A}u, v \rangle| \leq \langle \mathcal{B}u, u \rangle^{1/2} \langle \mathcal{B}v, v \rangle^{1/2}$$

Lemma 1. We assume that

$$M(t) \in \mathcal{L}(H), \quad M(t) = M^*(t) \geq 0, \quad \text{in } E,$$

r is a rational function bounded by 1 on E .

Then we have

$$\left\| \int_E r(t) M(t) dm(t) \right\| \leq \left\| \int_E M(t) |dm(t)| \right\|.$$

Proof. We have

$$|\langle M(t)u, v \rangle| \leq \langle M(t)u, u \rangle^{1/2} \langle M(t)v, v \rangle^{1/2},$$

Thus, with

$$\mathcal{A} = \int_E r(t) M(t) dm(t), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B} = \int_E M(t) |dm(t)|.$$

$$|\langle \mathcal{A}u, v \rangle| \leq \langle \mathcal{B}u, u \rangle^{1/2} \langle \mathcal{B}v, v \rangle^{1/2},$$

which yields $\|\mathcal{A}\| \leq \|\mathcal{B}\|$.

Lemma 2. We assume that

$dm(t)$ is a bounded, complex-valued measure on E ,

$M(t), N(t) \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, $N(t) = N^*(t)$, in E , $\alpha > 0$

$\operatorname{Re} M(t) = \frac{1}{2}(M(t) + M(t)^*) \geq N(t) \geq \alpha$, in E ,

r is a rational function bounded by 1 on E .

Then we have

$$\left\| \int_E r(t)(M(t))^{-1} dm(t) \right\| \leq \left\| \int_E (N(t))^{-1} |dm(t)| \right\|.$$

Lemma 2. We assume that r is bounded by 1,

$$\operatorname{Re} M(t) = \frac{1}{2}(M(t) + M(t)^*) \geq N(t) \geq \alpha, \quad \text{in } E.$$

Then we have

$$\left\| \int_E r(t)(M(t))^{-1} dm(t) \right\| \leq \left\| \int_E (N(t))^{-1} |dm(t)| \right\|.$$

Proof.

The proof is similar by noticing that

$$|\langle M(t)^{-1}u, v \rangle| \leq \langle N(t)^{-1}u, u \rangle^{1/2} \langle N(t)^{-1}v, v \rangle^{1/2}.$$

Application : a problem in an annulus $C = \{z ; \rho^{-1} \leq |z| \leq \rho\}$.

In 1974, Shields have shown that, if an operator A satisfies $\|A\| \leq \rho$ and $\|A^{-1}\| \leq \rho$, then for all rational functions r which is bounded by 1 on C , we have,

$$\|r(A)\| \leq 2 + \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2 + 1}{\rho^2 - 1}}.$$

Application : a problem in an annulus $C = \{z; \rho^{-1} \leq |z| \leq \rho\}$.

In 1974, Shields have shown that, if an operator A satisfies $\|A\| \leq \rho$ and $\|A^{-1}\| \leq \rho$, then for all rational functions r which is bounded by 1 on C , we have,

$$\|r(A)\| \leq 2 + \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2 + 1}{\rho^2 - 1}}.$$

But, if $\rho = 1$, then A is a unitary operator, and then $\|r(A)\| \leq 1$.

Application : a problem in an annulus $C = \{z; \rho^{-1} \leq |z| \leq \rho\}$.

We now want to explain how the two previous lemmata allow to show that, if an operator A satisfies $\|A\| < \rho$ and $\|A^{-1}\| < \rho$, then for all rational functions r which is bounded by 1 on C , we have,

$$\|r(A)\| \leq 2 + \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2 + 2\rho + 1}{\rho^2 + \rho + 1}} \leq 2 + 2/\sqrt{3}.$$

Application : a problem in an annulus $C = \{z ; \rho^{-1} \leq |z| \leq \rho\}$.

Let us consider an operator A with $\|A\| < \rho$ and $\|A^{-1}\| < \rho$, and a rational function r which is bounded on C . We have, from the Cauchy formula,

$$r(A) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial C} r(\sigma)(\sigma - A)^{-1} d\sigma.$$

Application : a problem in an annulus $C = \{z ; \rho^{-1} \leq |z| \leq \rho\}$.

Let us consider an operator A with $\|A\| < \rho$ and $\|A^{-1}\| < \rho$, and a rational function r which is bounded on C . We have, from the Cauchy formula,

$$r(A) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial C} r(\sigma)(\sigma - A)^{-1} d\sigma = R_1 + R_2 + R_3 + R_4,$$

with

$$R_1 = \int_{|\sigma|=\rho} r(\sigma) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left((\sigma - A)^{-1} d\sigma - (\bar{\sigma} - A^*)^{-1} d\bar{\sigma} - \sigma^{-1} d\sigma \right),$$

$$R_2 = \int_{|\sigma|=\rho^{-1}} r(\sigma) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left((\sigma - A)^{-1} d\sigma - (\bar{\sigma} - A^*)^{-1} d\bar{\sigma} - \sigma^{-1} d\sigma \right),$$

$$R_3 = \int_{|\sigma|=\rho} r(\sigma) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left((\bar{\sigma} - A^*)^{-1} d\bar{\sigma} + \sigma^{-1} d\sigma \right),$$

$$R_4 = \int_{|\sigma|=\rho^{-1}} r(\sigma) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left((\bar{\sigma} - A^*)^{-1} d\bar{\sigma} + \sigma^{-1} d\sigma \right).$$

Estimate of R_1 .

$$R_1 = \int_{|\sigma|=\rho} r(\sigma) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left((\sigma - A)^{-1} d\sigma - (\bar{\sigma} - A^*)^{-1} d\bar{\sigma} - \sigma^{-1} d\sigma \right).$$

We write $\sigma = \rho e^{i\theta}$, thus $d\sigma = i\sigma d\theta$, and note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left((\sigma - A)^{-1} d\sigma - (\bar{\sigma} - A^*)^{-1} d\bar{\sigma} - \sigma^{-1} d\sigma \right) &= \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} (\sigma - A)^{-1} (\rho^2 - AA^*) (\bar{\sigma} - A^*)^{-1} d\theta \end{aligned}$$

Estimate of R_1 .

$$R_1 = \int_{|\sigma|=\rho} r(\sigma) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left((\sigma - A)^{-1} d\sigma - (\bar{\sigma} - A^*)^{-1} d\bar{\sigma} - \sigma^{-1} d\sigma \right).$$

We write $\sigma = \rho e^{i\theta}$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left((\sigma - A)^{-1} d\sigma - (\bar{\sigma} - A^*)^{-1} d\bar{\sigma} - \sigma^{-1} d\sigma \right) &= \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} (\sigma - A)^{-1} (\rho^2 - AA^*) (\bar{\sigma} - A^*)^{-1} d\theta \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed $\|A\| \leq \rho$ implies $\rho^2 - AA^* \geq 0$.

Estimate of R_1 .

$$R_1 = \int_{|\sigma|=\rho} r(\sigma) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left((\sigma - A)^{-1} d\sigma - (\bar{\sigma} - A^*)^{-1} d\bar{\sigma} - \sigma^{-1} d\sigma \right).$$

We write $\sigma = \rho e^{i\theta}$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left((\sigma - A)^{-1} d\sigma - (\bar{\sigma} - A^*)^{-1} d\bar{\sigma} - \sigma^{-1} d\sigma \right) &= \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} (\sigma - A)^{-1} (\rho^2 - AA^*) (\bar{\sigma} - A^*)^{-1} d\theta \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We can apply Lemma 1 and we get, if $|r| \leq 1$ on C ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_1\| &\leq \left\| \int_{|\sigma|=\rho} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left((\sigma - A)^{-1} d\sigma - (\bar{\sigma} - A^*)^{-1} d\bar{\sigma} - \sigma^{-1} d\sigma \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \|1 + 1 - 1\| = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Estimate of R_1 .

$$R_1 = \int_{|\sigma|=\rho} r(\sigma) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left((\sigma - A)^{-1} d\sigma - (\bar{\sigma} - A^*)^{-1} d\bar{\sigma} - \sigma^{-1} d\sigma \right).$$

We write $\sigma = \rho e^{i\theta}$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left((\sigma - A)^{-1} d\sigma - (\bar{\sigma} - A^*)^{-1} d\bar{\sigma} - \sigma^{-1} d\sigma \right) &= \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} (\sigma - A)^{-1} (\rho^2 - AA^*) (\bar{\sigma} - A^*)^{-1} d\theta \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We can apply Lemma 1 and we get, if $|r| \leq 1$ on C ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_1\| &\leq \left\| \int_{|\sigma|=\rho} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left((\sigma - A)^{-1} d\sigma - (\bar{\sigma} - A^*)^{-1} d\bar{\sigma} - \sigma^{-1} d\sigma \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \|1 + 1 - 1\| = 1. \end{aligned}$$

We similarly get the estimate $\|R_2\| \leq 1$.

Estimate of $R_3 + R_4$.

We have

$$R_3 = \int_{|\sigma|=\rho} r(\sigma) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left((\bar{\sigma} - A^*)^{-1} d\bar{\sigma} + \sigma^{-1} d\sigma \right).$$

We use $\bar{\sigma} = \rho^2/\sigma$, thus $d\bar{\sigma} = -\rho^2/\sigma^2 d\sigma$, and get

$$R_3 = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\sigma|=\rho} r(\sigma) A^* (\rho^2 - \sigma A^*)^{-1} d\sigma$$

Estimate of $R_3 + R_4$.

We have

$$R_3 = \int_{|\sigma|=\rho} r(\sigma) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left((\bar{\sigma} - A^*)^{-1} d\bar{\sigma} + \sigma^{-1} d\sigma \right).$$

We use $\bar{\sigma} = \rho^2/\sigma$, thus $d\bar{\sigma} = -\rho^2/\sigma^2 d\sigma$, and get

$$\begin{aligned} R_3 &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\sigma|=\rho} r(\sigma) A^* (\rho^2 - \sigma A^*)^{-1} d\sigma \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\sigma|=1} r(\sigma) A^* (\rho^2 - \sigma A^*)^{-1} d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed $r(\sigma) A^* (\rho^2 - \sigma A^*)^{-1}$ is holomorphic in σ , for $\rho^{-1} \leq |\sigma| \leq \rho$, which allows to replace the path $|\sigma| = \rho$ by the path $|\sigma| = 1$.

Estimate of $R_3 + R_4$.

We have

$$R_3 = \int_{|\sigma|=\rho} r(\sigma) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left((\bar{\sigma} - A^*)^{-1} d\bar{\sigma} + \sigma^{-1} d\sigma \right).$$

We use $\bar{\sigma} = \rho^2/\sigma$, thus $d\bar{\sigma} = -\rho^2/\sigma^2 d\sigma$, and get

$$\begin{aligned} R_3 &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\sigma|=\rho} r(\sigma) A^* (\rho^2 - \sigma A^*)^{-1} d\sigma \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\sigma|=1} r(\sigma) A^* (\rho^2 - \sigma A^*)^{-1} d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we obtain

$$R_4 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\sigma|=1} r(\sigma) A^* (\rho^{-2} - \sigma A^*)^{-1} d\sigma.$$

Estimate of $R_3 + R_4$.

We have obtained

$$R_3 + R_4 = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\sigma|=1} r(\sigma) A^* ((\rho^2 - \sigma A^*)^{-1} - (\rho^{-2} - \sigma A^*)^{-1}) d\sigma$$

Estimate of $R_3 + R_4$.

We have obtained

$$\begin{aligned} R_3 + R_4 &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\sigma|=1} r(\sigma) A^* ((\rho^2 - \sigma A^*)^{-1} - (\rho^{-2} - \sigma A^*)^{-1}) d\sigma \\ &= -\frac{\rho^2 - \rho^{-2}}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} r(e^{i\theta}) M(\theta, A^*)^{-1} d\theta, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$M(\theta, A^*) = \rho^2 + \rho^{-2} - e^{i\theta} A^* - e^{-i\theta} A^{-*}.$$

Estimate of $R_3 + R_4$.

We set $A^* = UG$, with unitary U and self-adjoint $G \geq 0$, and note that $\|A\| \leq \rho$ and $\|A^{-1}\| \leq \rho$ imply $\rho^{-1} \leq G \leq \rho$. Thus

$$2 \leq G + G^{-1} \leq \rho + \rho^{-1} = 2\tau,$$

by setting $\tau = (\rho + \rho^{-1})/2$.

Estimate of $R_3 + R_4$.

We set $A^* = UG$, with unitary U and self-adjoint $G \geq 0$, and note that $\|A\| \leq \rho$ and $\|A^{-1}\| \leq \rho$ imply $\rho^{-1} \leq G \leq \rho$. Thus

$$2 \leq G + G^{-1} \leq \rho + \rho^{-1} = 2\tau,$$

by setting $\tau = (\rho + \rho^{-1})/2$, and then

$$\|G + G^{-1} - 1 - \tau\| \leq \tau - 1,$$

Estimate of $R_3 + R_4$.

We note that

$$\operatorname{Re} M(\theta, A^*) = \rho^2 + \rho^{-2} - (1 + \tau) \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} U) - \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} U(G + G^{-1} - 1 - \tau)),$$

and we have obtained

$$\|G + G^{-1} - 1 - \tau\| \leq \tau - 1, \quad \tau = (\rho + \rho^{-1})/2.$$

Estimate of $R_3 + R_4$.

We note that

$$\operatorname{Re} M(\theta, A^*) = \rho^2 + \rho^{-2} - (1 + \tau) \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} U) - \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} U (G + G^{-1} - 1 - \tau)),$$

and we have obtained

$$\|G + G^{-1} - 1 - \tau\| \leq \tau - 1, \quad \tau = (\rho + \rho^{-1})/2.$$

This yields to $\operatorname{Re} M(\theta, A^*) \geq N(\theta, U)$ with

$$N(\theta, U) := \rho^2 + \rho^{-2} - (1 + \tau) \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} U) + 1 - \tau$$

Estimate of $R_3 + R_4$.

We note that

$$\operatorname{Re} M(\theta, A^*) = \rho^2 + \rho^{-2} - (1 + \tau) \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} U) - \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} U (G + G^{-1} - 1 - \tau)),$$

and we have obtained

$$\|G + G^{-1} - 1 - \tau\| \leq \tau - 1, \quad \tau = (\rho + \rho^{-1})/2.$$

This yields to $\operatorname{Re} M(\theta, A^*) \geq N(\theta, U)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} N(\theta, U) &:= \rho^2 + \rho^{-2} - (1 + \tau) \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} U) + 1 - \tau \\ &\geq \rho^2 + \rho^{-2} - 2\tau > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Estimate of $R_3 + R_4$.

Thus we may apply Lemma 2 and obtain

$$\|R_3 + R_4\| \leq \frac{\rho^2 - \rho^{-2}}{2\pi} \left\| \int_0^{2\pi} N(\theta, U)^{-1} d\theta \right\|$$

Estimate of $R_3 + R_4$.

Thus we may apply Lemma 2 and obtain

$$\|R_3 + R_4\| \leq \frac{\rho^2 - \rho^{-2}}{2\pi} \left\| \int_0^{2\pi} N(\theta, U)^{-1} d\theta \right\|$$

But the integral may be computed, and we get

$$\|R_3 + R_4\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2 + 2\rho + 1}{\rho^2 + \rho + 1}} \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}.$$

But the integral may be computed, and we get

$$\|R_3 + R_4\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2 + 2\rho + 1}{\rho^2 + \rho + 1}} \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}.$$

Finally, we have obtained

$$\|r(A)\| \leq \|R_1\| + \|R_2\| + \|R_3 + R_4\| \leq 2 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}.$$

But the integral may be computed, and we get

$$\|R_3 + R_4\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2 + 2\rho + 1}{\rho^2 + \rho + 1}} \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}.$$

Finally, we have obtained

$$\|r(A)\| \leq \|R_1\| + \|R_2\| + \|R_3 + R_4\| \leq 2 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}.$$

Let us consider $D_1 = \{z; |z| \leq \rho\}$ and $D_2 = \{z; |z| \geq \rho^{-1}\}$.

We have shown that, if D_1 and D_2 are spectral sets for an operator A , then $C = D_1 \cap D_2$ is a $2 + 2/\sqrt{3}$ -spectral set for A .

The previous result is a particular case of a more general one, obtained with C. Badea and B. Beckermann

If D_1, D_2, \dots, D_n are n disks of the Riemann sphere, and if D_1, D_2, \dots, D_n are spectral sets for an operator A , then $X = D_1 \cap D_2 \cap \dots \cap D_n$ is a (complete) K -spectral set for A , with $K \leq n + n(n-1)/\sqrt{3}$.

A similar proof allows to show that

If the numerical range of an operator A

$$W(A) := \{\langle Av, v \rangle; v \in D(A), \|v\| = 1\}$$

is contained in a conic domain X , then X is a (complete) K -spectral set for A , with $K \leq 2 + 2/\sqrt{3}$.

A more intricate proof allows to show that

The numerical range of an operator A

$$W(A) := \{\langle Av, v \rangle; v \in D(A), \|v\| = 1\}$$

is a (complete) K -spectral set for A , with $K \leq 11.08$.

B. Beckermann and M. Crouzeix, A lenticular version of a von Neumann inequality, *Arch. Math.*(Basel), **86** (2006), 352–355.

M. Crouzeix, Numerical range and functional calculus in Hilbert space, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **244** (2007), 668–690.

M. Crouzeix, A functional calculus based on the numerical range. Applications, *Linear and Multil. Algebra*, **56**, 1, (2008), 81–107.

B.&F. Delyon, Generalization of Von Neumann's spectral sets and integral representation of operators, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **1**, (1999), 25–42.

J. von Neumann, Eine Spektraltheorie für allgemeine Operatoren eines unitären Raumes, *Math. Nachr.* **4** (1951), 258–281.