### Infinite graphs

### Péter Komjáth

#### LC'12

Graph: (V, X), where  $X \subseteq [V]^2$ , V: vertices, X: edges

(W, Y) is a subgraph of (V, X) if  $W \subseteq V, Y \subseteq X$ . (W, Y) is an *induced subgraph* of (V, X) if  $W \subseteq V, Y = X \cap [W]^2$  Chromatic number: least number of colors, there is a good coloring of vertices  $f: V \rightarrow \mu$ , if  $\{x, y\} \in X$ , then  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ Notation: Chr(X)

**Theorem.** (Galvin-K): AC is equivalent to the statement that every graph has chromatic number.

### **Theorem.** (Erdős–de Bruijn) n is a natural number and each finite subgraph of the graph X can be good colored with n colors, then X can be good colored with n colors.

**Theorem.** (Blanche Descartes) If n = 2, 3, ... then there is a finite graph with no  $C_3$  which is *n*-chromatic.

**Theorem.** (Erdős–Rado) If  $\kappa$  is an infinite cardinal then there is a triangle-free graph (V, X) with  $Chr(X) > \kappa$  and  $|V| = 2^{\kappa}$ . Improved to  $|V| = \kappa^+$ .

**Theorem.** (Erdős) If n, k are natural numbers, then there is a finite graph (V, X) which does not contain  $C_3, C_4, \ldots, C_k$  and Chr(X) > n.

Circuits

**Theorem.** (Erdős–Hajnal) If the graph X omits  $C_4$  (or any circuit of even length), then  $Chr(X) \leq \aleph_0$ .

**Theorem.** (Erdős–Hajnal) If  $\kappa$  is a cardinal, n is a natural number, then there is a graph X which does not contain  $C_3, C_5, \ldots, C_{2n+1}$  and  $Chr(X) > \kappa$ .

**Definition.** (Erdős-Hajnal) If (V, X) is a graph, its coloring number, Col(X), is the least cardinal  $\mu$  such that there is a well order < of V, such that each vertex is joined into  $< \mu$  smaller vertices.

The vertex set V can be good colored with  $\mu$  colors with a transfinite recursion by < and so

$$\operatorname{Chr}(X) \leq \operatorname{Col}(X)$$

## **Theorem.** (Erdős-Hajnal) If $\operatorname{Col}(X) > \aleph_0$ , then X contains a $C_4$ (4-circuit), in fact every $C_{2k}$ , in fact $K_{n,\aleph_1}$ for each $n < \omega$ .

Obligatory graph: isomorphic to a subgraph of X if  $Col(X) > \aleph_0$ . What are the obligatory graphs?

**Theorem.** (K) There is a countable graph  $\Gamma$  and a graph  $\Delta$  of cardinality  $\aleph_1$  such that  $\Gamma$  is the largest countable obligatory graph and  $\Delta$  is the largest obligatory graph.

**Theorem.** (Shelah) If  $\lambda$  is singular, X is a graph of cardinality  $\lambda$ , all whose smaller subgraphs have coloring number at most  $\mu$ , then  $\operatorname{Col}(X) \leq \mu$ .

**Theorem.** If  $\kappa$  is regular, X is a graph on  $\kappa$ , all whose smaller subgraphs are of coloring number at most  $\mu$ , then  $\operatorname{Col}(X) > \mu$  iff

$$S = \{ \alpha < \kappa : \exists \beta \ge \alpha, |N(\beta) \cap \alpha| \ge \mu \}$$

is stationary. Here  $N(\beta)$  denotes the set of neighbors of  $\beta$ .

### **Theorem.** A graph X has $Col(X) > \mu$ iff it contains either

(1) a bipartite graph on sets A, B with  $|A| = \lambda^+$ ,  $|B| = \lambda$ , with all vertices in A joined into  $\mu$  vertices of B

or else

(2) a graph (isomorphic to a graph) on some regular cardinal  $\kappa$  such that stationary many points  $\alpha$  are joined into a cofinal subset of  $\alpha$  of order type  $\mu$ .

**Theorem.** (Erdős–Hajnal) If  $Chr(X) > \aleph_0$ , then every finite bipartite graph appears in X and each finite nonbipartite graph may be omitted.

What are the obligatory families of graphs?

**Theorem.** (Erdős–Hajnal–Shelah, Thomassen) If  $Chr(X) > \aleph_0$ , then X contains all of  $C_{2n+1}, C_{2n+3}, \ldots$ , for some *n*.

**Corollary.** If  $Chr(X) > \aleph_0$ ,  $Chr(Y) > \aleph_0$ , there is a 3-chromatic graph embeddable into both (a long odd circuit).

**Conjecture.** (Erdős) If  $Chr(X) > \aleph_0$ ,  $Chr(Y) > \aleph_0$  there is a 4-chromatic graph embeddable into both. If  $\operatorname{Chr}(X) > \aleph_0$  then let  $f_X$  be the following function.  $f_X(n)$  is the number of vertices in the smallest *n*-chromatic subgraph of *X*.  $f_X(n)$  exists by Erdős–de Bruijn and clearly  $f_X(n) \ge n$ . Therefore  $f_X(n) \to \infty$ .

**Question.** (Erdős–Hajnal) Can  $f_X$  increase arbitrarily fast?

**Theorem.** (Shelah) It is consistent that for every function  $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  there is a graph X with  $\operatorname{Chr}(X) = \aleph_1$  and  $f_X(n) \ge f(n)$   $(n \ge 3)$ .

The Taylor conjecture (Erdős–Hajnal–Shelah, Taylor) If X is a graph with  $Chr(X) > \aleph_0$ , then for each cardinal  $\lambda$  there is a graph Y whose finite subgraphs are the same as those of X and  $Chr(Y) > \lambda$ . **Theorem.** (K) Consistently there is a graph X with  $|X| = \operatorname{Chr}(X) = \aleph_1$  and if Y is a graph all whose finite subgraphs occur in X then  $\operatorname{Chr}(Y) \leq \aleph_2$ .

**Theorem.** (K) It is consistent, that if  $Chr(X) \ge \aleph_2$ , then there are arbitrarily large chromatic graphs with the same finite subgraph as X.

The Erdős-de Bruijn phenomenon does not hold for the coloring number (Erdős-Hajnal), however

**Theorem.** (K) If *n* is a natural number and Col(X) = n + 1, then X has a subgraph Y with Col(Y) = n.

What about the chromatic number?

If  $Chr(X) \ge n$ , then there is a subgraph Y with Chr(Y) = n.

If  $\operatorname{Chr}(X) \geq \aleph_0$ , then there is a subgraph Y with  $\operatorname{Chr}(Y) = \aleph_0$ .

Galvin asked if the chromatic number has the Darboux property, i.e., if  $Chr(X) = \lambda$  and  $\kappa < \lambda$ , then there is a subgraph  $Y \subseteq X$  with  $Chr(Y) = \kappa$ ? Wlog  $\aleph_0 < \kappa$ .

**Theorem.** (Galvin) If  $2^{\aleph_0} = 2^{\aleph_1} < 2^{\aleph_2}$ , then there is a graph X with  $\operatorname{Chr}(X) > \aleph_1$ , which does not have an *induced* subgraph Y with  $\operatorname{Chr}(Y) = \aleph_1$ .

**Theorem.** (K) It is consistent that there is a graph X with  $|X| = Chr(X) = \aleph_2$  with no subgraph Y with  $Chr(Y) = \aleph_1$ .

If X is a graph, define

I(X) =

 ${\operatorname{Chr}(Y): Y \text{ is an ind. subgr. of } X} - \{0, 1, \dots, \aleph_0\}.$ 

Then I(X) is closed under taking limits and if  $\lambda \in I(X)$  is singular, then  $\lambda \in I(X)'$ .

Further, if A is a nonempty set consisting of uncountable cardinals having these properties, then there is a ccc forcing which gives a model with a graph X such that I(X) = A.

If X is a graph, let

 $S(X) = \{ \operatorname{Chr}(Y) : Y \text{ is a subgr. of } X \} - \{0, 1, \dots, \aleph_0 \}.$ 

If  $\lambda \in S(X)$  is a singular cardinal, then  $\lambda \in S(X)'$ and if  $\lambda \in S(X)'$  is singular, then  $\lambda \in S(X)$ .

It may not be closed at regular cardinals:

**Theorem.** (K) If it is consistent that there is a measurable cardinal, then it is consistent that there is a graph X such that S(X) is not closed at a regular cardinal.

If  $Chr(X) > \aleph_0$ , then there is a connected subgraph Y with  $Chr(Y) > \aleph_0$ . (One of X's connected component.)

A graph is *n*-connected if it is connected and stays connected after the removal of < n vertices.

**Theorem.** (K) If *n* is finite, X is an uncountably chromatic graph then there is an uncountably chromatic *n*-connected subgraph  $Y \subseteq X$  such that all vertices of Y have uncountable degree.

**Theorem.** (K) It is consistent that each graph (V, X) with  $|X| = \operatorname{Chr}(X) = \aleph_1$  contains an  $\aleph_0$ -connected subgraph Y with  $\operatorname{Chr}(Y) = \aleph_1$ .

**Theorem.** (K) It is consistent that there is an  $\aleph_1$ -chromatic graph of cardinality  $\aleph_1$  which does not contain an  $\aleph_0$ -connected subgraph of cardinality  $\aleph_1$ .

**Problem.** (Erdős-Hajnal) Is it true that every graph with uncountable chromatic number contains an infinitely connected subgraph?

If (V, X) is a graph, then its *list-chromatic number* List(X) is the least cardinal  $\mu$  such that the following holds. If F(v) is a set with  $|F(v)| = \mu$  $(v \in V)$  then there is a good coloring f with  $f(v) \in F(v)$   $(v \in V)$ .

#### **Lemma.** If X is a graph then

$$\operatorname{Chr}(X) \leq \operatorname{List}(X) \leq \operatorname{Col}(X).$$

# **Theorem.** (K) It is consistent that if X is a graph of cardnality $\aleph_1$ then $\text{List}(X) = \aleph_1 \iff \text{Chr}(X) = \aleph_1$ .

List-chromatic number

**Theorem.** (K) It is consistent that if X is a graph of cardinality  $\aleph_1$  then

$$\operatorname{List}(X) = \aleph_1 \iff \operatorname{Col}(X) = \aleph_1.$$

**Theorem.** (K) It is consistent that if Col(X) is infinite (X is of arbitrary size) then

$$\operatorname{List}(X) = \operatorname{Col}(X).$$

**Theorem.** (K) It is consistent that GCH holds and there exists a graph X with  $|X| = \operatorname{Col}(X) = \aleph_1$  and  $\operatorname{List}(X) = \aleph_0$ .

**Theorem.** (K) (GCH)  $\operatorname{Col}(X) \leq \operatorname{List}(X)^+$ .