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General form of algebraic Riccati equation (ARE) for \(A, G=G^{T}, W=W^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\) given and \(X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\) unknown:
\[
0=\mathcal{R}(X):=A^{T} X+X A-X G X+W .
\]
\(G=0 \Longrightarrow\) Lyapunov equation:
\[
0=\mathcal{L}(X):=A^{\top} X+X A+W .
\]
Typical situation in model reduction and optimal control problems for semi-discretized PDEs:
```
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General form of algebraic Riccati equation (ARE) for $A, G=G^{T}, W=W^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ given and $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ unknown:

$$
0=\mathcal{R}(X):=A^{T} X+X A-X G X+W .
$$

$G=0 \Longrightarrow$ Lyapunov equation:
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General form of algebraic Riccati equation (ARE) for $A, G=G^{T}, W=W^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ given and $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ unknown:

$$
0=\mathcal{R}(X):=A^{T} X+X A-X G X+W .
$$

$G=0 \Longrightarrow$ Lyapunov equation:

$$
0=\mathcal{L}(X):=A^{T} X+X A+W
$$

Typical situation in model reduction and optimal control problems for semi-discretized PDEs:

- $n=10^{3}-10^{6}\left(\Longrightarrow 10^{6}-10^{12}\right.$ unknowns! $)$,
- $A$ has sparse representation $\left(A=-M^{-1} S\right.$ for FEM),
- $G, W$ low-rank with $G, W \in\left\{B B^{T}, C^{T} C\right\}$, where $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}, m \ll n, \quad C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}, p \ll n$.
- Standard (eigenproblem-based) $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3}\right)$ methods are not applicable!
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Consider spectrum of ARE solution (analogous for Lyapunov equations).

## Example:

- Linear 1D heat equation with point control,
- $\Omega=[0,1]$,
- FEM discretization using linear B-splines,
- $h=1 / 100 \Longrightarrow n=101$.


Idea: $X=X^{\top} \geq 0 \Longrightarrow$

$$
X=Z Z^{T}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k} z_{k} z_{k}^{T} \approx Z^{(r)}\left(Z^{(r)}\right)^{T}=\sum_{k=1}^{r} \lambda_{k} z_{k} z_{k}^{T}
$$
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Consider spectrum of ARE solution (analogous for Lyapunov equations).
eigenvalues of $\boldsymbol{X}$ for $\mathrm{h}=\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$

## Example:

- Linear 1D heat equation with point control,
- $\Omega=[0,1]$,
- FEM discretization using linear B-splines,
- $h=1 / 100 \Longrightarrow n=101$.


$$
X=Z Z^{T}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k} z_{k} z_{k}^{T} \approx Z^{(r)}\left(Z^{(r)}\right)^{T}=\sum_{k=1}^{r} \lambda_{k} z_{k} z_{k}^{T}
$$

$\Longrightarrow$ Goal: compute $Z^{(r)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ directly w/o ever forming $X$ !

## Motivation

Linear-quadratic Optimal Control

Numerical solution of linear-quadratic optimal control problem for parabolic PDEs via Galerkin approach, spatial FEM discretization $\rightsquigarrow$

## LQR Problem (finite-dimensional)

$\operatorname{Min} \mathcal{J}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(y^{T} Q y+u^{T} R u\right) d t \quad$ for $u \in \mathcal{L}_{2}\left(0, \infty ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$,
subject to $M \dot{x}=-S x+B u, \quad x(0)=x_{0}, \quad y=C x$, with stiffness $S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, mass $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}, C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$.

Solution of finite-dimensional LQR problem: feedback control

$$
u_{*}(t)=-B^{\top} X_{*} x(t)=:-K_{*} x(t),
$$

where $X_{*}=X_{*}^{\top} \geq 0$ is the unique stabilizing ${ }^{1}$ solution of the ARE

$$
0=\mathcal{R}(X):=C^{\top} C+A^{\top} X+X A-X B B^{\top} X,
$$

with $A:=-M^{-1} S, B:=M^{-1} B R^{-\frac{1}{2}}, C:=C Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}$

## Motivation

Linear-quadratic Optimal Control

Numerical solution of linear-quadratic optimal control problem for parabolic PDEs via Galerkin approach, spatial FEM discretization $\rightsquigarrow$

## LQR Problem (finite-dimensional)

$\operatorname{Min} \mathcal{J}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(y^{T} Q y+u^{T} R u\right) d t \quad$ for $u \in \mathcal{L}_{2}\left(0, \infty ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$,
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Solution of finite-dimensional LQR problem: feedback control

$$
u_{*}(t)=-B^{T} X_{*} x(t)=:-K_{*} x(t),
$$

where $X_{*}=X_{*}^{\top} \geq 0$ is the unique stabilizing ${ }^{1}$ solution of the ARE

$$
0=\mathcal{R}(X):=C^{T} C+A^{T} X+X A-X B B^{T} X,
$$

with $A:=-M^{-1} S, B:=M^{-1} B R^{-\frac{1}{2}}, C:=C Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.
${ }^{1} X$ is stabilizing $\Leftrightarrow \Lambda\left(A-B B^{T} X\right) \subset \mathbb{C}^{-}$.
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## Linear, Time-Invariant (LTI) Systems

$$
\Sigma:\left\{\begin{array}{llll}
\dot{x}(t) & =A x+B u, & & A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n},
\end{array} \quad B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}, ~ \begin{array}{ll} 
& B(t)=C x+D u,
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l}
C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n},
\end{array} \quad D \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times m} .\right.
$$

$(A, B, C, D)$ is a realization of $\Sigma$ (nonunique).
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## Linear, Time-Invariant (LTI) Systems

$$
\Sigma:\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\dot{x}(t)=A x+B u, & A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, & B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} \\
y(t)=C x+D u, & C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}, & D \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times m}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$(A, B, C, D)$ is a realization of $\Sigma$ (nonunique).

## Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Given $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ symmetric positive definite (spd), and a contragredient transformation $T: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
T P T^{T}=T^{-T} Q T^{-1}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}\right), \quad \sigma_{1} \geq \ldots \geq \sigma_{n} \geq 0
$$

Balancing $\Sigma$ w.r.t. $P, Q$ :

$$
\Sigma \equiv(A, B, C, D) \mapsto\left(T A T^{-1}, T B, C T^{-1}, D\right) \equiv \Sigma
$$
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Balancing $\Sigma$ w.r.t. $P, Q$ :

$$
\Sigma \equiv(A, B, C, D) \mapsto\left(T A T^{-1}, T B, C T^{-1}, D\right) \equiv \Sigma
$$

For Balanced Truncation: $P / Q=$ controllability/observability Gramian of $\Sigma$, i.e., for asymptotically stable systems, $P, Q$ solve dual Lyapunov equations

$$
A P+P A^{T}+B B^{T}=0, \quad A^{T} Q+Q A+C^{T} C=0 .
$$
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## Basic Model Reduction Procedure

1 Given $\Sigma \equiv(A, B, C, D)$ and balancing (w.r.t. given $P, Q$ spd) transformation $T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ nonsingular, compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
(A, B, C, D) & \mapsto\left(T A T^{-1}, T B, C T^{-1}, D\right) \\
& =\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{11} & A_{12} \\
A_{21} & A_{22}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{c}
B_{1} \\
B_{2}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
C_{1} & C_{2}
\end{array}\right], D\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

2 Truncation $\rightsquigarrow$ reduced-order model:

$$
(\hat{A}, \hat{B}, \hat{C}, \hat{D})=\left(A_{11}, B_{1}, C_{1}, D\right) .
$$
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## Implementation: SR Method

1 Given Cholesky (square) or (low-rank approximation to) full-rank (maybe rectangular, "thin") factors of $P, Q$

$$
P=S^{T} S, \quad Q=R^{T} R
$$

2 Compute SVD

$$
S R^{T}=\left[U_{1}, U_{2}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\Sigma_{1} & \\
& \Sigma_{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
V_{1}^{T} \\
V_{2}^{T}
\end{array}\right]
$$

3 Set

$$
W=R^{T} V_{1} \Sigma_{1}^{-1 / 2}, \quad V=S^{T} U_{1} \Sigma_{1}^{-1 / 2}
$$

4 Reduced-order model is

$$
(\hat{A}, \hat{B}, \hat{C}, \hat{D}):=\left(W^{\top} A V, W^{\top} B, C V, D\right)\left(\equiv\left(A_{11}, B_{1}, C_{1}, D\right) .\right)
$$

Recall Peaceman Rachford ADI:
Consider $A u=s$ where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ spd, $s \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. ADI Iteration Idea:
Decompose $A=H+V$ with $H, V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (H+p l) v=r \\
& (V+p l) w=t
\end{aligned}
$$

can be solved easily/efficiently.

## ADI Iteration

If $H, V$ spd $\Rightarrow \exists p_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{0} & =0 \\
\left(H+p_{k} I\right) u_{k-\frac{1}{2}} & =\left(p_{k} I-V\right) u_{k-1}+s \\
\left(V+p_{k} I\right) u_{k} & =\left(p_{k} I-H\right) u_{k-\frac{1}{2}}+s
\end{aligned}
$$

converges to $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ solving $A u=s$.

## ADI Method for Lyapunov Equations

## Background
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Recall Peaceman Rachford ADI:
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## ADI Iteration

If $H, V$ spd $\Rightarrow \exists p_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{0} & =0 \\
\left(H+p_{k} I\right) u_{k-\frac{1}{2}} & =\left(p_{k} I-V\right) u_{k-1}+s \\
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converges to $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ solving $A u=s$.

The Lyapunov operator

$$
\mathcal{L}: \quad P \quad \mapsto \quad A X+X A^{T}
$$

can be decomposed into the linear operators

$$
\mathcal{L}_{H}: X \mapsto A X \quad \mathcal{L}_{V}: X \mapsto X A^{T}
$$

In analogy to the standard ADI method we find the

## ADI iteration for the Lyapunov equation <br> [WACHSPRESS 1988

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{0} & =0 \\
\left(A+p_{k} I\right) X_{k-\frac{1}{2}} & =-W-P_{k-1}\left(A^{T}-p_{k} I\right) \\
\left(A+p_{k} I\right) X_{k}^{T} & =-W-X_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{T}\left(A^{T}-p_{k} I\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Low-Rank ADI for Lyapunov equations

- For $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ stable, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}(w \ll n)$, consider Lyapunov equation

$$
A X+X A^{T}=-B B^{T} .
$$

- ADI Iteration:
[WAChSPRESS 1988]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(A+p_{k} I\right) X_{k-\frac{1}{2}} & =-B B^{T}-X_{k-1}\left(A^{T}-p_{k} I\right) \\
\left(A+\overline{p_{k}} I\right) X_{k}{ }^{T} & =-B B^{T}-X_{k-\frac{1}{2}}\left(A^{T}-\overline{p_{k}} I\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with parameters $p_{k} \in \mathbb{C}^{-}$and $p_{k+1}=\overline{p_{k}}$ if $p_{k} \notin \mathbb{R}$.

- For $X_{0}=0$ and proper choice of $p_{k}: \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} X_{k}=X$ superlinear.
- Re-formulation using $X_{k}=Y_{k} Y_{k}^{T}$ yields iteration for $Y_{k} \ldots$
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## Low-Rank ADI for Lyapunov equations

Lyapunov equation $0=A X+X A^{T}+B B^{T}$.
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Setting $X_{k}=Y_{k} Y_{k}^{\top}$, some algebraic manipulations $\Longrightarrow$

## Algorithm [Penzl '97/'00, Li/White '99/'02, B. 04, B./Li/PenzL '99/'08]

$$
V_{1} \leftarrow \sqrt{-2 \operatorname{Re}\left(p_{1}\right)}\left(A+p_{1} /\right)^{-1} B, \quad Y_{1} \leftarrow V_{1}
$$

FOR $k=2,3, \ldots$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{k} \leftarrow \sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{Re}\left(p_{k}\right)}{\operatorname{Re}\left(p_{k-1}\right)}}\left(V_{k-1}-\left(p_{k}+\overline{p_{k-1}}\right)\left(A+p_{k} I\right)^{-1} V_{k-1}\right) \\
& Y_{k} \leftarrow\left[\begin{array}{l}
Y_{k-1} \\
V_{k}
\end{array}\right] \\
& Y_{k} \leftarrow \operatorname{rrlq}\left(Y_{k}, \tau\right) \quad \% \text { column compression }
\end{aligned}
$$

At convergence, $Y_{k_{\max }} Y_{k_{\max }}^{T} \approx X$, where (without column compression)

$$
Y_{k_{\max }}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
V_{1} & \ldots & V_{k_{\max }}
\end{array}\right], \quad V_{k}=\square \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m} .
$$

Note: Implementation in real arithmetic possible by combining two steps.

## Low-Rank ADI for Lyapunov equations

Lyapunov equation $0=A X+X A^{T}+B B^{T}$.
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Setting $X_{k}=Y_{k} Y_{k}^{\top}$, some algebraic manipulations $\Longrightarrow$
Algorithm [Penzl '97/'00, Li/White '99/'02, B. 04, B./Li/Penzl '99/'08]

$$
V_{1} \leftarrow \sqrt{-2 \operatorname{Re}\left(p_{1}\right)\left(A+p_{1} I\right)^{-1} B, \quad Y_{1} \leftarrow V_{1} .}
$$

$$
\text { FOR } k=2,3, \ldots
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{k} \leftarrow \sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{Re}\left(p_{k}\right)}{\operatorname{Re}\left(p_{k-1}\right)}}\left(V_{k-1}-\left(p_{k}+\overline{p_{k-1}}\right)\left(A+p_{k} I\right)^{-1} V_{k-1}\right) \\
& Y_{k} \leftarrow\left[Y_{k-1} \quad V_{k}\right] \\
& Y_{k} \leftarrow \operatorname{rrlq}\left(Y_{k}, \tau\right) \quad \% \text { column compression }
\end{aligned}
$$

At convergence, $Y_{k_{\text {max }}} Y_{k_{\text {max }}}^{T} \approx X$, where (without column compression)

$$
Y_{k_{\max }}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
V_{1} & \ldots & V_{k_{\max }}
\end{array}\right], \quad V_{k}=\square \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}
$$

Note: Implementation in real arithmetic possible by combining two steps.

## Numerical Results

Optimal Cooling of Steel Profiles
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- Mathematical model: boundary control for linearized 2D heat equation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
c \cdot \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial t} x & =\lambda \Delta x, \quad \xi \in \Omega \\
\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial n} x & =\kappa\left(u_{k}-x\right), \quad \xi \in \Gamma_{k}, 1 \leq k \leq 7, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial n} x & =0, \quad \xi \in \Gamma_{7} . \\
\Longrightarrow m=7, p & =6 .
\end{aligned}
$$

- FEM Discretization, different models for initial mesh ( $n=371$ ), $1,2,3,4$ steps of mesh refinement $\Rightarrow$ $n=1357,5177,20209,79841$.

Source: Physical model: courtesy of Mannesmann/Demag.
Math. model: Tröltzsch/Unger 1999/2001, Penzl 1999, SaAk 2003.

## Numerical Results

Computations by Jens Saak
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■ Solve dual Lyapunov equations needed for balanced truncation, i.e.,

$$
A P M^{T}+M P A^{T}+B B^{T}=0, \quad A^{T} Q M+M^{T} Q A+C^{T} C=0
$$

for 79, 841. Note: $m=7, p=6$.

- 25 shifts chosen by Penzl's heuristic from 50/25 Ritz values of $A$ of largest/smallest magnitude, no column compression performed.
- New version in MESS (Matrix Equations Sparse Solvers) requires no factorization of mass matrix!
■ Computations done on Core2Duo at 2.8 GHz with 3 GB RAM and 32Bit-Matlab.
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- $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \equiv \mathrm{FDM}$ matrix for 2D heat equation on $[0,1]^{2}$ (LyAPACK benchmark demo_11, $m=1$ ).

■ 16 shifts chosen by Penzl's heuristic from 50/25 Ritz values of $A$ of largest/smallest magnitude.
■ Computations using 2 dual core Intel Xeon 5160 with 16 GB RAM.

## Recent Numerical Results

Computations by Martin Köhler
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- $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \equiv \mathrm{FDM}$ matrix for 2D heat equation on $[0,1]^{2}$ (LyAPACK benchmark demo_11, $m=1$ ).
■ 16 shifts chosen by Penzl's heuristic from 50/25 Ritz values of $A$ of largest/smallest magnitude.
■ Computations using 2 dual core Intel Xeon 5160 with 16 GB RAM.

| n | CMESS | LYAPACK | MESS |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | 0.023 | 0.124 | 0.158 |
| 625 | 0.042 | 0.104 | 0.227 |
| 2,500 | 0.159 | 0.702 | 0.989 |
| 10,000 | 0.965 | 6.22 | 5.644 |
| 40,000 | 11.09 | 71.48 | 34.55 |
| 90,000 | 34.67 | 418.5 | 90.49 |
| 160,000 | 109.3 | out of memory | 219.9 |
| 250,000 | 193.7 | out of memory | 403.8 |
| 562,500 | 930.1 | out of memory | 1216.7 |
| $1,000,000$ | 2220.0 | out of memory | 2428.6 |

## Recent Numerical Results

## Computations by Martin Köhler
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■ $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \equiv \mathrm{FDM}$ matrix for 2D heat equation on $[0,1]^{2}$ (LyAPACK benchmark demo_11, $m=1$ ).

■ 16 shifts chosen by Penzl's heuristic from 50/25 Ritz values of $A$ of largest/smallest magnitude.
■ Computations using 2 dual core Intel Xeon 5160 with 16 GB RAM.


Note: for $\mathrm{n}=1,000,000$, first sparse LU needs $\sim 1,100$ sec., using UMFPACK this reduces to 30 sec . (result of June 15, 2009).

## Factored Galerkin-ADI Iteration

Lyapunov equation $0=A X+X A^{T}+B B^{T}$
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Projection-based methods for Lyapunov equations with $A+A^{T}<0$ :
1 Compute orthonormal basis range $(Z), Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$, for subspace $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{Z}=r$.
2 Set $\hat{A}:=Z^{T} A Z, \hat{B}:=Z^{T} B$.
3 Solve small-size Lyapunov equation $\hat{A} \hat{X}+\hat{X} \hat{A}^{T}+\hat{B} \hat{B}^{T}=0$.
4 Use $X \approx Z \hat{X} Z^{T}$.

## Examples:

■ Krylov subspace methods, i.e., for $m=1$ :

$$
\mathcal{Z}=\mathcal{K}(A, B, r)=\operatorname{span}\left\{B, A B, A^{2} B, \ldots, A^{r-1} B\right\}
$$

[SaAd '90, Jaimoukha/Kasenally '94, Jbilou '02-'08].

- K-PIK [Simoncini '07],

$$
\mathcal{Z}=\mathcal{K}(A, B, r) \cup \mathcal{K}\left(A^{-1}, B, r\right)
$$
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Projection-based methods for Lyapunov equations with $A+A^{T}<0$ :
1 Compute orthonormal basis range $(Z), Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$, for subspace $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{Z}=r$.
2 Set $\hat{A}:=Z^{T} A Z, \hat{B}:=Z^{T} B$.
3 Solve small-size Lyapunov equation $\hat{A} \hat{X}+\hat{X} \hat{A}^{T}+\hat{B} \hat{B}^{T}=0$.
4 Use $X \approx Z \hat{X} Z^{T}$.

## Examples:

■ Krylov subspace methods, i.e., for $m=1$ :

$$
\mathcal{Z}=\mathcal{K}(A, B, r)=\operatorname{span}\left\{B, A B, A^{2} B, \ldots, A^{r-1} B\right\}
$$

[SaAd '90, Jaimoukha/Kasenally '94, Jbilou '02-'08].
■ K-PIK [Simoncini '07],

$$
\mathcal{Z}=\mathcal{K}(A, B, r) \cup \mathcal{K}\left(A^{-1}, B, r\right) .
$$

## Factored Galerkin-ADI Iteration

Lyapunov equation $0=A X+X A^{T}+B B^{T}$
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Projection-based methods for Lyapunov equations with $A+A^{T}<0$ :
1 Compute orthonormal basis range $(Z), Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$, for subspace $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{Z}=r$.
2 Set $\hat{A}:=Z^{T} A Z, \hat{B}:=Z^{T} B$.
3 Solve small-size Lyapunov equation $\hat{A} \hat{X}+\hat{X} \hat{A}^{T}+\hat{B} \hat{B}^{T}=0$.
4 Use $X \approx Z \hat{X} Z^{T}$.

## Examples:

■ ADI subspace [B./R.-C. Li/Truhar '08]:

$$
\mathcal{Z}=\operatorname{colspan}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
V_{1}, & \ldots, & V_{r}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Note:
1 ADI subspace is rational Krylov subspace [J.-R. Li/White '02].
2 Similar approach: ADI-preconditioned global Arnoldi method [JBilou '08].

## Factored Galerkin-ADI Iteration
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FEM semi-discretized control problem for parabolic PDE:
■ optimal cooling of rail profiles,
■ $n=20,209, m=7, p=6$.

## Good ADI shifts




CPU times: 80s (projection every 5th ADI step) vs. 94s (no projection).
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FEM semi-discretized control problem for parabolic PDE:
■ optimal cooling of rail profiles,
■ $n=20,209, m=7, p=6$.

## Bad ADI shifts




CPU times: 368s (projection every 5th ADI step) vs. 1207s (no projection).

## Factored Galerkin-ADI Iteration

Numerical examples: optimal cooling of rail profiles, $n=79,841, m=7, p=6$.
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MESS w/ Galerkin projection and column compression



Rank of solution factors: 532 / 426

MESS with Galerkin projection and column compression


Rank of solution factors: 269 / 205

## Newton-ADI for AREs

Newton's Method for AREs [Kleinman '68, Mehrmann '91, Lancaster/Rodman '95, B./Byers '94/'98, B. '97, Guo/Laub '99]
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## References

- Consider $\quad 0=\mathcal{R}(X)=C^{T} C+A^{\top} X+X A-X B B^{T} X$.
- Frechét derivative of $\mathcal{R}(X)$ at $X$ :

$$
\mathcal{R}_{X}^{\prime}: Z \rightarrow\left(A-B B^{\top} X\right)^{T} Z+Z\left(A-B B^{\top} X\right)
$$

- Newton-Kantorovich method:

$$
X_{j+1}=X_{j}-\left(\mathcal{R}_{X_{j}}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{R}\left(X_{j}\right), \quad j=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

## Newton's method (with line search) for AREs

$$
\text { FOR } j=0,1, \ldots
$$

$1 A_{j} \leftarrow A-B B^{T} X_{j}=: A-B K_{j}$.
2 Solve the Lyapunov equation $\quad A_{j}^{T} N_{j}+N_{j} A_{j}=-\mathcal{R}\left(X_{j}\right)$.
(3 $X_{j+1} \leftarrow X_{j}+t_{j} N_{j}$.
END FOR $j$

## Newton-ADI for AREs

Newton's Method for AREs [Kleinman '68, Mehrmann '91, Lancaster/Rodman '95, B./Byers '94/'98, B. '97, Guo/Laub '99]
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Conclusions and Open Problems

- Consider $\quad 0=\mathcal{R}(X)=C^{T} C+A^{T} X+X A-X B B^{T} X$.
- Frechét derivative of $\mathcal{R}(X)$ at $X$ :

$$
\mathcal{R}_{X}^{\prime}: Z \rightarrow\left(A-B B^{T} X\right)^{T} Z+Z\left(A-B B^{T} X\right)
$$

- Newton-Kantorovich method:

$$
X_{j+1}=X_{j}-\left(\mathcal{R}_{X_{j}}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{R}\left(X_{j}\right), \quad j=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

## Newton's method (with line search) for AREs

FOR $;=0,1$
$\| A_{j} \leftarrow A-B B^{T} X_{j}=: A-B K_{j}$.
2 Solve the Lyapunov equation $A_{j}^{T} N_{j}+N_{j} A_{j}=-\mathcal{R}\left(X_{j}\right)$.
(3) $X_{j+1} \leftarrow X_{j}+t_{j} N_{j}$.

## END FOR $j$

## Newton-ADI for AREs

Newton's Method for AREs [Kleinman '68, Mehrmann '91, Lancaster/Rodman '95, B./Byers '94/'98, B. '97, Guo/Laub '99]

- Consider $\quad 0=\mathcal{R}(X)=C^{T} C+A^{T} X+X A-X B B^{T} X$.
- Frechét derivative of $\mathcal{R}(X)$ at $X$ :

$$
\mathcal{R}_{X}^{\prime}: Z \rightarrow\left(A-B B^{T} X\right)^{T} Z+Z\left(A-B B^{T} X\right)
$$

- Newton-Kantorovich method:

$$
X_{j+1}=X_{j}-\left(\mathcal{R}_{X_{j}}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{R}\left(X_{j}\right), \quad j=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

## Newton's method (with line search) for AREs

FOR $j=0,1$
(1) $A_{j} \leftarrow A-B B^{\top} X_{j}=: A-B K_{j}$.

2 Solve the Lyapunov equation $A_{j}^{T} N_{j}+N_{j} A_{j}=-\mathcal{R}\left(X_{j}\right)$.
[3 $X_{j+1} \leftarrow X_{j}+t_{j} N_{j}$.

## END FOR $j$

## Newton-ADI for AREs

Newton's Method for AREs [Kleinman '68, Mehrmann '91, Lancaster/Rodman '95, B./Byers '94/'98, B. '97, Guo/Laub '99]

- Consider $0=\mathcal{R}(X)=C^{T} C+A^{T} X+X A-X B B^{T} X$.
- Frechét derivative of $\mathcal{R}(X)$ at $X$ :

$$
\mathcal{R}_{X}^{\prime}: Z \rightarrow\left(A-B B^{T} X\right)^{T} Z+Z\left(A-B B^{T} X\right)
$$

- Newton-Kantorovich method:

$$
X_{j+1}=X_{j}-\left(\mathcal{R}_{X_{j}}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{R}\left(X_{j}\right), \quad j=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

Newton's method (with line search) for AREs
FOR $j=0,1, \ldots$
$1 A_{j} \leftarrow A-B B^{T} X_{j}=: A-B K_{j}$.
$\boxed{2}$ Solve the Lyapunov equation $A_{j}^{T} N_{j}+N_{j} A_{j}=-\mathcal{R}\left(X_{j}\right)$.
${ }^{3} X_{j+1} \leftarrow X_{j}+t_{j} N_{j}$.
END FOR $j$

## Factored Galerkin-ADI Iteration

Properties and Implementation

- Convergence for $K_{0}$ stabilizing:
- $A_{j}=A-B K_{j}=A-B B^{T} X_{j}$ is stable $\forall j \geq 0$.

■ $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\mathcal{R}\left(X_{j}\right)\right\|_{F}=0$ (monotonically).

- $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} X_{j}=X_{*} \geq 0$ (locally quadratic).
- Need large-scale Lyapunov solver; here, ADI iteration:
linear systems with dense, but "sparse+low rank" coefficient matrix $A_{j}$ :

- $m \ll n \Longrightarrow$ efficient "inversion" using Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula:
$\left(A-B K_{j}+p_{k}^{(j)} I\right)^{-1}=\left(I_{n}+\left(A+p_{k}^{(j)} I\right)^{-1} B\left(I I_{m}-K_{j}\left(A+p_{k}^{(j)} I\right)^{-1} B\right)^{-1} K_{j}\right)\left(A+p_{k}^{(j)} I\right)^{-1}$
- BUT: $X=X^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \Longrightarrow n(n+1) / 2$ unknowns!
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■ $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\mathcal{R}\left(X_{j}\right)\right\|_{F}=0$ (monotonically).
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## Factored Galerkin-ADI Iteration

Properties and Implementation

■ Convergence for $K_{0}$ stabilizing:
■ $A_{j}=A-B K_{j}=A-B B^{T} X_{j}$ is stable $\forall j \geq 0$.
■ $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\mathcal{R}\left(X_{j}\right)\right\|_{F}=0$ (monotonically).
$■ \lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} X_{j}=X_{*} \geq 0$ (locally quadratic).
■ Need large-scale Lyapunov solver; here, ADI iteration: linear systems with dense, but "sparse+low rank" coefficient matrix $A_{j}$ :


- $m \ll n \Longrightarrow$ efficient "inversion" using Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula:
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## Factored Galerkin-ADI Iteration

Properties and Implementation

■ Convergence for $K_{0}$ stabilizing:
■ $A_{j}=A-B K_{j}=A-B B^{T} X_{j}$ is stable $\forall j \geq 0$.
■ $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\mathcal{R}\left(X_{j}\right)\right\|_{F}=0$ (monotonically).

- $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} X_{j}=X_{*} \geq 0$ (locally quadratic).

■ Need large-scale Lyapunov solver; here, ADI iteration: linear systems with dense, but "sparse+low rank" coefficient matrix $A_{j}$ :


- $m \ll n \Longrightarrow$ efficient "inversion" using Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula:

$$
\left(A-B K_{j}+p_{k}^{(j)} I\right)^{-1}=\left(I_{n}+\left(A+p_{k}^{(j)} I\right)^{-1} B\left(I_{m}-K_{j}\left(A+p_{k}^{(j)} I\right)^{-1} B\right)^{-1} K_{j}\right)\left(A+p_{k}^{(j)} I\right)^{-1} .
$$

■ BUT: $X=X^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \Longrightarrow n(n+1) / 2$ unknowns!

Re-write Newton's method for AREs

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{j}^{T} N_{j}+N_{j} A_{j}=-\mathcal{R}\left(X_{j}\right) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \\
& A_{j}^{T} \underbrace{\left(X_{j}+N_{j}\right)}_{=X_{j+1}}+\underbrace{\left(X_{j}+N_{j}\right)}_{=X_{j+1}} A_{j}=\underbrace{-C^{T} C-X_{j} B B^{T} X_{j}}_{=:-W_{j} W_{j}^{T}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { Set } X_{j}=Z_{j} Z_{j}^{T} \text { for } \operatorname{rank}\left(Z_{j}\right) \ll n \Longrightarrow
$$

$$
A_{j}^{T}\left(Z_{j+1} Z_{j+1}^{T}\right)+\left(Z_{j+1} Z_{j+1}^{T}\right) A_{j}=-W_{j} W_{j}^{T}
$$

## Factored Newton Iteration [B./Li/Penzl 1999/2008]

Solve Lyapunov equations for $Z_{j+1}$ directly by factored ADI iteration and use 'sparse + low-rank' structure of $A_{j}$.

## Low-Rank Newton-ADI for AREs
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Re-write Newton's method for AREs

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{j}^{T} N_{j}+N_{j} A_{j}=-\mathcal{R}\left(X_{j}\right) \\
\Longleftrightarrow \\
A_{j}^{T} \underbrace{\left(X_{j}+N_{j}\right)}_{=X_{j+1}}+\underbrace{\left(X_{j}+N_{j}\right)}_{=X_{j+1}} A_{j}=\underbrace{-C^{T} C-X_{j} B B^{T} X_{j}}_{=:-W_{j} W_{j}^{T}} \\
\text { Set } X_{j}=Z_{j} Z_{j}^{T} \text { for } \operatorname{rank}\left(Z_{j}\right) \ll n \Longrightarrow \\
A_{j}^{T}\left(Z_{j+1} Z_{j+1}^{T}\right)+\left(Z_{j+1} Z_{j+1}^{T}\right) A_{j}=-W_{j} W_{j}^{T}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Factored Newton Iteration [B./Li/Penzl 1999/2008]

Solve Lyapunov equations for $Z_{j+1}$ directly by factored ADI iteration and use 'sparse + low-rank' structure of $A_{j}$.

Optimal feedback

$$
K_{*}=B^{T} X_{*}=B^{T} Z_{*} Z_{*}^{T}
$$

can be computed by direct feedback iteration:

- $j$ th Newton iteration:

$$
K_{j}=B^{T} Z_{j} Z_{j}^{T}=\sum_{k=1}^{k_{\max }}\left(B^{T} V_{j, k}\right) V_{j, k}^{T} \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} \quad K_{*}=B^{T} Z_{*} Z_{*}^{T}
$$

- $K_{j}$ can be updated in ADI iteration, no need to even form $Z_{j}$, need only fixed workspace for $K_{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ !

Related to earlier work by [BANKS/Ito 1991].

## Newton-ADI for AREs

Numerical Results
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- Linear 2D heat equation with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary and point control/observation.
- FD discretization on uniform $150 \times 150$ grid.
- $n=22.500, m=p=1,10$ shifts for ADI iterations.
- Convergence of large-scale matrix equation solvers:



## Newton-ADI for AREs

Performance of matrix equation solvers
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Performance of Newton's method for accuracy $\sim 1 / n$

| grid | unknowns | $\frac{\\|\mathcal{R}(X)\\|_{F}}{\\|X\\|_{F}}$ | it. (ADI it.) | CPU (sec.) |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $8 \times 8$ | 2,080 | $4.7 \mathrm{e}-7$ | $2(8)$ | 0.47 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 32,896 | $1.6 \mathrm{e}-6$ | $2(10)$ | 0.49 |
| $32 \times 32$ | 524,800 | $1.8 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $2(11)$ | 0.91 |
| $64 \times 64$ | $8,390,656$ | $1.8 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $3(14)$ | 7.98 |
| $128 \times 128$ | $134,225,920$ | $3.7 \mathrm{e}-6$ | $3(19)$ | 79.46 |

Here,

- Convection-diffusion equation,

■ $m=1$ input and $p=2$ outputs,
■ $X=X^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \Rightarrow \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ unknowns.
Confirms mesh independence principle for Newton-Kleinman
[Burns/Sachs/Zietsman '08].
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Performance of Newton's method for accuracy $\sim 1 / n$

| grid | unknowns | $\frac{\\|\mathcal{R}(X)\\|_{F}}{\\|X\\|_{F}}$ | it. (ADI it.) | CPU (sec.) |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $8 \times 8$ | 2,080 | $4.7 \mathrm{e}-7$ | $2(8)$ | 0.47 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 32,896 | $1.6 \mathrm{e}-6$ | $2(10)$ | 0.49 |
| $32 \times 32$ | 524,800 | $1.8 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $2(11)$ | 0.91 |
| $64 \times 64$ | $8,390,656$ | $1.8 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $3(14)$ | 7.98 |
| $128 \times 128$ | $134,225,920$ | $3.7 \mathrm{e}-6$ | $3(19)$ | 79.46 |

Here,

- Convection-diffusion equation,

■ $m=1$ input and $p=2$ outputs,
■ $X=X^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \Rightarrow \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ unknowns.
Confirms mesh independence principle for Newton-Kleinman [Burns/Sachs/Zietsman '08].
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■ FDM for 2D heat/convection-diffusion equations on $[0,1]^{2}$ (LyAPACK benchmarks, $m=p=1) \rightsquigarrow$ symmetric/nonsymmetric $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $n=10,000$.

■ 15 shifts chosen by Penzl's heuristic from 50/25 Ritz/harmonic Ritz values of $A$.

- Computations using Intel Core 2 Quad CPU of type Q9400 at 2.66 GHz with 4 GB RAM and 64Bit-Matlab.
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■ FDM for 2D heat/convection-diffusion equations on $[0,1]^{2}$ (LyAPACK benchmarks, $m=p=1) \rightsquigarrow$ symmetric/nonsymmetric $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $n=10,000$.

■ 15 shifts chosen by Penzl's heuristic from 50/25 Ritz/harmonic Ritz values of $A$.

- Computations using Intel Core 2 Quad CPU of type Q9400 at 2.66 GHz with 4 GB RAM and 64 Bit-Matlab.
Newton-ADI

| NWT | rel. change | rel. residual | ADI |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | $9.99 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 200 |
| 2 | $9.99 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $3.41 \mathrm{e}+01$ | 23 |
| 3 | $5.25 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $6.37 \mathrm{e}+00$ | 20 |
| 4 | $5.37 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $1.52 \mathrm{e}+00$ | 20 |
| 5 | $7.03 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $2.64 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 23 |
| 6 | $5.57 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $1.56 \mathrm{e}-02$ | 23 |
| 7 | $6.59 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $6.30 \mathrm{e}-05$ | 23 |
| 8 | $4.02 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $9.68 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 23 |
| 9 | $8.45 \mathrm{e}-09$ | $1.09 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 23 |
| 10 | $1.52 \mathrm{e}-14$ | $1.09 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 23 |
|  |  |  |  |
| CPU time: | 76.9 sec. |  |  |
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■ FDM for 2D heat/convection-diffusion equations on $[0,1]^{2}$ (LyAPACK benchmarks, $m=p=1) \rightsquigarrow$ symmetric/nonsymmetric $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $n=10,000$.

- 15 shifts chosen by Penzl's heuristic from 50/25 Ritz/harmonic Ritz values of $A$.
- Computations using Intel Core 2 Quad CPU of type Q9400 at 2.66 GHz with 4 GB RAM and 64Bit-Matlab.

Newton-ADI

| NWT | rel. change | rel. residual | ADI |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | $9.99 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 200 |
| 2 | $9.99 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $3.41 \mathrm{e}+01$ | 23 |
| 3 | $5.25 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $6.37 \mathrm{e}+00$ | 20 |
| 4 | $5.37 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $1.52 \mathrm{e}+00$ | 20 |
| 5 | $7.03 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $2.64 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 23 |
| 6 | $5.57 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $1.56 \mathrm{e}-02$ | 23 |
| 7 | $6.59 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $6.30 \mathrm{e}-05$ | 23 |
| 8 | $4.02 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $9.68 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 23 |
| 9 | $8.45 \mathrm{e}-09$ | $1.09 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 23 |
| 10 | $1.52 \mathrm{e}-14$ | $1.09 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 23 |

CPU time: 76.9 sec .

## Newton-Galerkin-ADI

| NWT | rel. change | rel. residual | ADI |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | $3.56 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 20 |
| 2 | $5.25 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $6.37 \mathrm{e}+00$ | 10 |
| 3 | $5.37 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $1.52 \mathrm{e}+00$ | 6 |
| 4 | $7.03 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $2.64 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 10 |
| 5 | $5.57 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $1.57 \mathrm{e}-02$ | 10 |
| 6 | $6.59 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $6.30 \mathrm{e}-05$ | 10 |
| 7 | $4.03 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $9.79 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 10 |
| 8 | $8.45 \mathrm{e}-09$ | $1.43 \mathrm{e}-15$ | 10 |
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- FDM for 2D heat/convection-diffusion equations on $[0,1]^{2}$ (LyAPACK benchmarks, $m=p=1) \rightsquigarrow$ symmetric/nonsymmetric $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $n=10,000$.

■ 15 shifts chosen by Penzl's heuristic from 50/25 Ritz/harmonic Ritz values of $A$.

- Computations using Intel Core 2 Quad CPU of type Q9400 at 2.66 GHz with 4 GB RAM and 64 Bit-Matlab.
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■ FDM for 2D heat/convection-diffusion equations on $[0,1]^{2}$ (LyApACK benchmarks, $m=p=1) \rightsquigarrow$ symmetric/nonsymmetric $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $n=10,000$.

■ 15 shifts chosen by Penzl's heuristic from 50/25 Ritz/harmonic Ritz values of $A$.

- Computations using Intel Core 2 Quad CPU of type Q9400 at 2.66 GHz with 4 GB RAM and 64 Bit-Matlab.
Newton-ADI

| NWT | rel. change | rel. residual | ADI |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | $9.99 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 200 |
| 2 | $9.99 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $3.56 \mathrm{e}+01$ | 60 |
| 3 | $3.11 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $3.72 \mathrm{e}+00$ | 39 |
| 4 | $2.88 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $9.62 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 40 |
| 5 | $3.41 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $1.68 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 45 |
| 6 | $1.22 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $5.25 \mathrm{e}-03$ | 42 |
| 7 | $3.88 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $2.96 \mathrm{e}-06$ | 47 |
| 8 | $2.30 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $6.09 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 47 |
| CPU time: 185.9 sec.$$ |  |  |  |
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- FDM for 2D heat/convection-diffusion equations on $[0,1]^{2}$ (Lyapack benchmarks, $m=p=1) \rightsquigarrow$ symmetric/nonsymmetric $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $n=10,000$.

■ 15 shifts chosen by Penzl's heuristic from 50/25 Ritz/harmonic Ritz values of $A$.

- Computations using Intel Core 2 Quad CPU of type Q9400 at 2.66 GHz with 4 GB RAM and 64Bit-Matlab.


## Newton-ADI

| NWT | rel. change | rel. residual | ADI |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | $9.99 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 200 |
| 2 | $9.99 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $3.56 \mathrm{e}+01$ | 60 |
| 3 | $3.11 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $3.72 \mathrm{e}+00$ | 39 |
| 4 | $2.88 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $9.62 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 40 |
| 5 | $3.41 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $1.68 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 45 |
| 6 | $1.22 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $5.25 \mathrm{e}-03$ | 42 |
| 7 | $3.88 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $2.96 \mathrm{e}-06$ | 47 |
| 8 | $2.30 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $6.09 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 47 |
| CPU time: 185.9 sec.$$ |  |  |  |

## Newton-Galerkin-ADI

| step | rel. change | rel. residual | ADI it. |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | $1.78 \mathrm{e}-02$ | 35 |
| 2 | $3.11 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $3.72 \mathrm{e}+00$ | 15 |
| 3 | $2.88 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $9.62 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 20 |
| 4 | $3.41 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $1.68 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 15 |
| 5 | $1.22 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $5.25 \mathrm{e}-03$ | 20 |
| 6 | $3.89 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $2.96 \mathrm{e}-06$ | 15 |
| 7 | $2.30 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $6.14 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 20 |

CPU time: 75.7 sec.
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- FDM for 2D heat/convection-diffusion equations on $[0,1]^{2}$ (LyAPACK benchmarks, $m=p=1) \rightsquigarrow$ symmetric/nonsymmetric $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $n=10,000$.

■ 15 shifts chosen by Penzl's heuristic from 50/25 Ritz/harmonic Ritz values of $A$.

- Computations using Intel Core 2 Quad CPU of type Q9400 at 2.66 GHz with 4 GB RAM and 64 Bit-Matlab.




## Quadratic ADI for AREs

$0=\mathcal{R}(X)=A^{T} X+X A-X B B^{T} X+W$
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Basic QADI iteration [Wong/Balakrishnan et al. '05-'08]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(A-B B^{T} X_{k}\right)^{T}+p_{k} I\right) X_{k+\frac{1}{2}} & =-W-X_{k}\left(\left(A-p_{k} I\right)\right. \\
\left(\left(A-B B^{T} X_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{T}\right)^{T}+p_{k} I\right) X_{k+1} & =-W-X_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{T}\left(A-p_{k} I\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Derivation of complicated Cholesky factor version, but requires square and invertible Cholesky factors.

## Idea of low-rank Galerkin-QADI <br> [B./SAAK '09]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{1} \leftarrow \sqrt{-2 \operatorname{Re}\left(p_{1}\right)}\left(A-B\left(B^{T} Y_{0}\right) Y_{0}^{T}+p_{1} I\right)^{-T} B, \quad Y_{1} \leftarrow V_{1} \\
& \text { FOR } k=2,3, \ldots \\
& \\
& \quad V_{k} \leftarrow V_{k-1}-\left(p_{k}+\overline{p_{k-1}}\right)\left(A-B\left(B^{T} Y_{k-1}\right) Y_{k-1}^{T}+p_{k} I\right)^{-T} V_{k-1} \\
& \quad Y_{k} \leftarrow\left[\begin{array}{cc}
Y_{k-1} & \sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{Re}\left(p_{k}\right)}{\operatorname{Re}\left(p_{k-1}\right)}} V_{k}
\end{array}\right] \\
& \quad Y_{k} \leftarrow \operatorname{rrlq}\left(Y_{k}, \tau\right) \quad \% \text { column compression } \\
& \\
& \text { If desired, project ARE onto range }\left(Y_{k}\right), \text { solve and prolongate. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Quadratic ADI for AREs

## $0=\mathcal{R}(X)=A^{T} X+X A-X B B^{T} X+W$
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Basic QADI iteration

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(A-B B^{T} X_{k}\right)^{T}+p_{k} l\right) X_{k+\frac{1}{2}} & =-W-X_{k}\left(\left(A-p_{k} l\right)\right. \\
\left(\left(A-B B^{T} X_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{\top}\right)^{T}+p_{k} l\right) X_{k+1} & =-W-X_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{T}\left(A-p_{k} l\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Derivation of complicated Cholesky factor version, but requires square and invertible Cholesky factors.

Idea of low-rank Galerkin-QADI

$$
V_{1} \leftarrow \sqrt{-2 \operatorname{Re}\left(p_{1}\right)}\left(A-B\left(B^{T} Y_{0}\right) Y_{0}^{T}+p_{1} I\right)^{-T} B, \quad Y_{1} \leftarrow V_{1}
$$ FOR $k=2,3, \ldots$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{k} \leftarrow V_{k-1}-\left(p_{k}+\overline{p_{k-1}}\right)\left(A-B\left(B^{\top} Y_{k-1}\right) Y_{k-1}^{\top}+p_{k} I\right)^{-T} V_{k-1} \\
& Y_{k} \leftarrow\left[\begin{array}{rr}
Y_{k-1} & \sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{Re}\left(p_{k}\right)}{\operatorname{Re}\left(p_{k-1}\right)}} V_{k}
\end{array}\right] \\
& Y_{k} \leftarrow \operatorname{rrlq}\left(Y_{k}, \tau\right) \quad \% \text { column compression }
\end{aligned}
$$

If desired, project ARE onto range $\left(Y_{k}\right)$, solve and prolongate.

## AREs with High-Rank Constant Term
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Consider ARE

$$
0=\mathcal{R}(X)=W+A^{T} X+X A-X B B^{T} X
$$

with $\operatorname{rank}(W) \nless n$, e.g., stabilization of flow problems described by Navier-Stokes eqns. requires solution of

$$
0=\mathcal{R}(X)=M_{h}-S_{h}^{T} X M_{h}-M_{h} X S_{h}-M_{h} X B_{h} B_{h}^{T} X M_{h},
$$

where $M_{h}=$ mass matrix of $F E$ velocity test functions.
Example: von Kármán vortex street, $\mathrm{Re}=500$
uncontrolled:

controlled using ARE:


## AREs with High-Rank Constant Term

Solution: remove $W$ from r.h.s. of Lyapunov eqns. in Newton-ADI
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One step of Newton-Kleinman iteration for ARE:

$$
A_{j}^{T} \underbrace{\left(X_{j}+N_{j}\right)}_{=X_{j+1}}+X_{j+1} A_{j}=-W-\underbrace{\left(X_{j} B\right)}_{=K_{j}^{T}} \underbrace{B^{T} X_{j}}_{=K_{j}} \quad \text { for } j=1,2, \ldots
$$

Subtract two consecutive equations $\Longrightarrow$

$$
A_{j}^{T} N_{j}+N_{j} A_{j}=-N_{j-1}^{T} B B^{T} N_{j-1} \quad \text { for } j=1,2, \ldots
$$

See [Banks/Ito '91, B./Hernández/Pastor '03, Morris/Navasca '05] for details and applications of this variant.

But: need $B^{T} N_{0}=K_{1}-K_{0}$ !

Assuming $K_{0}$ is known, need to compute $K_{1}$.

## AREs with High-Rank Constant Term

Solution: remove $W$ from r.h.s. of Lyapunov eqns. in Newton-ADI

Solution idea:

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{1} & =B^{T} X_{1} \\
& =B^{T} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\left(A-B K_{0}\right)^{T} t}\left(W+K_{0}^{T} K_{0}\right) e^{\left(A-B K_{0}\right) t} d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} g(t) d t \approx \sum_{\ell=0}^{N} \gamma_{\ell} g\left(t_{\ell}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g(t)=\left(\left(e^{\left(A-B K_{0}\right) t} B\right)^{T}\left(W+K_{0}^{T} K_{0}\right)\right) e^{\left(A-B K_{0}\right) t}$.
[BorgGaard/Stoyanov '08]:
evaluate $g\left(t_{\ell}\right)$ using ODE solver applied to $\dot{x}=\left(A-B K_{0}\right) x+$ adjoint eqn.

## AREs with High-Rank Constant Term

Solution: remove $W$ from r.h.s. of Lyapunov eqns. in Newton-ADI
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Better solution idea:
(related to frequency domain POD [Willcox/Peraire '02])

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{1} & \left.=B^{T} X_{1} \quad \quad \text { (Notation: } A_{0}:=A-B K_{0}\right) \\
& =B^{T} \cdot \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\jmath \omega I_{n}-A_{0}\right)^{-H}\left(W+K_{0}^{T} K_{0}\right)\left(\jmath \omega I_{n}-A_{0}\right)^{-1} d \omega \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\omega) d \omega \approx \sum_{\ell=0}^{N} \gamma_{\ell} f\left(\omega_{\ell}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\quad f(\omega)=\left(-\left(\left(\jmath \omega I_{n}+A_{0}\right)^{-1} B\right)^{T}\left(W+K_{0}^{T} K_{0}\right)\right)\left(\jmath \omega I_{n}-A_{0}\right)^{-1}$.
Evaluation of $f\left(\omega_{\ell}\right)$ requires

- 1 sparse LU decmposition (complex!),
- $2 m$ forward/backward solves,

■ $m$ sparse and $2 m$ low-rank matrix-vector products.
Use adaptive quadrature with high accuracy, e.g. Gauß-Kronrod (Matlab's quadgk).

## AREs with Indefinite Hessian
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$$
\mathcal{R}(X):=C^{T} C+A^{T} X+X A+X\left(B_{1} B_{1}^{T}-B_{2} B_{2}^{T}\right) X=0 .
$$
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## Problems

■ For large-scale problems, resulting, e.g., from $H_{\infty}$ control, standard methods based on Hamiltonian/even eigenvalue problem can not be used due to $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3}\right)$ complexity/dense matrix algebra.

- Krylov subspace methods might be employed, but so far no convergence results, and in case of convergence, no guarantee that stabilizing solution is computed.
- Newton/Newton-ADI method will in general diverge/converge to a non-stabilizing solution.
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- Krylov subspace methods might be employed, but so far no convergence results, and in case of convergence, no guarantee that stabilizing solution is computed.
- Newton/Newton-ADI method will in general diverge/converge to a non-stabilizing solution.
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## Problems

■ For large-scale problems, resulting, e.g., from $H_{\infty}$ control, standard methods based on Hamiltonian/even eigenvalue problem can not be used due to $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3}\right)$ complexity/dense matrix algebra.

- Krylov subspace methods might be employed, but so far no convergence results, and in case of convergence, no guarantee that stabilizing solution is computed.

■ Newton/Newton-ADI method will in general diverge/converge to a non-stabilizing solution.

## Motivation: $H_{\infty}$-Control
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Linear time-invariant systems

$$
\Sigma:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}=A x+B_{1} w+B_{2} u \\
z=C_{1} x+D_{11} w+D_{12} u \\
y=C_{2} x+D_{21} w+D_{22} u
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, B_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m_{k}}, C_{j} \in \mathbb{C}^{p_{j} \times n}, D_{j k} \in \mathbb{R}^{p_{j} \times m_{k}}$.
$x$ - states of the system,
$w$ - exogenous inputs
$u$ - control inputs,
$z$ - performance outputs
$y$ - measured outputs
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Laplace transform $\Longrightarrow$ transfer function (in frequency domain)

$$
G(s)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
G_{11}(s) & G_{12}(s) \\
G_{21}(s) & G_{22}(s)
\end{array}\right] \equiv\left[\begin{array}{c|cc}
A & B_{1} & B_{2} \\
\hline C_{1} & D_{11} & D_{12} \\
C_{2} & D_{21} & D_{22}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where for $x(0)=0, G_{i j}$ are the rational matrix functions
■ $G_{11}(s)=C_{1}(s l-A)^{-1} B_{1}+D_{11}$,

- $G_{12}(s)=C_{1}(s l-A)^{-1} B_{2}+D_{12}$,

■ $G_{21}(s)=C_{2}(s l-A)^{-1} B_{1}+D_{21}$,

- $G_{22}(s)=C_{2}(s l-A)^{-1} B_{2}+D_{22}$,
describing the transfer from inputs to outputs of $\Sigma$ via

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z(s)=G_{11}(s) w(s)+G_{12}(s) u(s) \\
& y(s)=G_{21}(s) w(s)+G_{22}(s) u(s)
\end{aligned}
$$
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Consider closed-loop system, where $K(s)$ is an internally stabilizing controller, i.e., $K$ stabilizes $G$ for $w \equiv 0$.


Consider closed-loop system, where $K(s)$ is an internally stabilizing controller, i.e., $K$ stabilizes $G$ for $w \equiv 0$.


## Goal:

find $K$ that minimize error outputs

$$
z=\left(G_{11}+G_{12} K\left(I-G_{22} K\right)^{-1} G_{21}\right) w=: \mathcal{F}(G, K) w,
$$

where $\mathcal{F}(G, K)$ is the linear fractional transformation of $G, K$.
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Consider closed-loop system, where $K(s)$ is an internally stabilizing controller, i.e., $K$ stabilizes $G$ for $w \equiv 0$.


## Goal:

find $K$ that minimize error outputs

$$
z=\left(G_{11}+G_{12} K\left(I-G_{22} K\right)^{-1} G_{21}\right) w=: \mathcal{F}(G, K) w
$$

where $\mathcal{F}(G, K)$ is the linear fractional transformation of $G, K$.

## $H_{\infty}$-optimal control problem:

$$
\min _{K \text { stabilizing }}\|\mathcal{F}(G, K)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}}
$$
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Consider closed-loop system, where $K(s)$ is an internally stabilizing controller, i.e., $K$ stabilizes $G$ for $w \equiv 0$.


## Goal:

find $K$ that minimize error outputs

$$
z=\left(G_{11}+G_{12} K\left(I-G_{22} K\right)^{-1} G_{21}\right) w=: \mathcal{F}(G, K) w
$$

where $\mathcal{F}(G, K)$ is the linear fractional transformation of $G, K$.

## $H_{\infty}$-suboptimal control problem:

For given constant $\gamma>0$, find all internally stabilizing controllers satisfying

$$
\|\mathcal{F}(G, K)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}}<\gamma .
$$

Simplifying assumptions
$11 D_{11}=0$;
(2) $D_{22}=0$;

3 ( $A, B_{1}$ ) stabilizable, $\left(C_{1}, A\right)$ detectable;
4 ( $A, B_{2}$ ) stabilizable, $\left(C_{2}, A\right)$ detectable $(\Longrightarrow \Sigma$ internally stabilizable);
$5 D_{12}^{T}\left[\begin{array}{ll}C_{1} & D_{12}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & I_{m_{2}}\end{array}\right]$;
б $\left[\begin{array}{c}B_{1} \\ D_{21}\end{array}\right] D_{21}^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{c}0 \\ I_{p_{2}}\end{array}\right]$.
Remark. 1.,2.,5.,6. only for notational convenience, 3. can be relaxed, but derivations get even more complicated.
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Theorem [Doyle/Glover/Khargonekar/Francis '89]
Given the Assumptions 1.-6., there exists an admissible controller $K(s)$ solving the $H_{\infty}$-suboptimal control problem $\Longleftrightarrow$
(i) There exists a solution $X_{\infty}=X_{\infty}^{T} \geq 0$ to the ARE

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1} C_{1}^{T}+A^{T} X+X A+X\left(\gamma^{-2} B_{1} B_{1}^{T}-B_{2} B_{2}^{T}\right) X=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $A_{X}$ is Hurwitz, where $A_{X}:=A+\left(\gamma^{-2} B_{1} B_{1}^{T}-B_{2} B_{2}^{T}\right) X_{\infty}$.
(ii) There exists a solution $Y_{\infty}=Y_{\infty}^{T} \geq 0$ to the ARE

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{1} B_{1}^{T}+A Y+Y A^{T}+Y\left(\gamma^{-2} C_{1} C_{1}^{T}-C_{2} C_{2}^{T}\right) Y=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $A_{Y}$ is Hurwitz where $A_{Y}:=A+Y_{\infty}\left(\gamma^{-2} C_{1} C_{1}^{T}-C_{2} C_{2}^{T}\right)$.
(iii) $\gamma^{2}>\rho\left(X_{\infty} Y_{\infty}\right)$.

## $H_{\infty}$-optimal control

Find minimal $\gamma$ for which (i)-(iii) are satisfied $\rightsquigarrow \gamma$-iteration based on solving AREs (1)-(2) repeatedly for different $\gamma$.
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## Theorem [Doyle/Glover/Khargonekar/Francis '89]

Given the Assumptions 1.-6., there exists an admissible controller $K(s)$ solving the $H_{\infty}$-suboptimal control problem $\Longleftrightarrow$
(i) There exists a solution $X_{\infty}=X_{\infty}^{T} \geq 0$ to the ARE

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1} C_{1}^{T}+A^{T} X+X A+X\left(\gamma^{-2} B_{1} B_{1}^{T}-B_{2} B_{2}^{T}\right) X=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $A_{X}$ is Hurwitz, where $A_{X}:=A+\left(\gamma^{-2} B_{1} B_{1}^{T}-B_{2} B_{2}^{T}\right) X_{\infty}$.
(ii) There exists a solution $Y_{\infty}=Y_{\infty}^{T} \geq 0$ to the ARE

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{1} B_{1}^{T}+A Y+Y A^{T}+Y\left(\gamma^{-2} C_{1} C_{1}^{T}-C_{2} C_{2}^{T}\right) Y=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $A_{Y}$ is Hurwitz where $A_{Y}:=A+Y_{\infty}\left(\gamma^{-2} C_{1} C_{1}^{T}-C_{2} C_{2}^{T}\right)$.
(iii) $\gamma^{2}>\rho\left(X_{\infty} Y_{\infty}\right)$.

## $H_{\infty}$-optimal control

Find minimal $\gamma$ for which (i)-(iii) are satisfied $\rightsquigarrow \gamma$-iteration based on solving AREs (1)-(2) repeatedly for different $\gamma$.
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$H_{\infty}$-(sub-)optimal controller
If (i)-(iii) hold, a suboptimal controller is given by

$$
\hat{K}(s)=\left[\begin{array}{c|c}
\hat{A} & \hat{B} \\
\hline \hat{C} & 0
\end{array}\right]=\hat{C}\left(s l_{n}-\hat{A}\right)^{-1} \hat{B},
$$

where for

$$
Z_{\infty}:=\left(I-\gamma^{-2} Y_{\infty} X_{\infty}\right)^{-1}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{A} & :=A+\left(\gamma^{-2} B_{1} B_{1}^{T}-B_{2} B_{2}^{T}\right) X_{\infty}-Z_{\infty} Y_{\infty} C_{2}^{T} C_{2}, \\
\hat{B} & :=Z_{\infty} Y_{\infty} C_{2}^{T}, \\
\hat{C} & :=-B_{2}^{T} X_{\infty} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\hat{K}(s)$ is the central or minimum entropy controller.

AREs with

ARE with indefinite Hessian

$$
0=\mathcal{R}(X):=C^{T} C+A^{T} X+X A+X\left(B_{1} B_{1}^{T}-B_{2} B_{2}^{T}\right)
$$

Consider $X^{-1} \mathcal{R}(X) X^{-1}=0$
$\rightsquigarrow$ standard ARE for $\tilde{X} \equiv X^{-1}$

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{X}):=\left(B_{1} B_{1}^{T}-B_{2} B_{2}^{T}\right)+\tilde{X} A^{T}+A \tilde{X}+\tilde{X} C^{T} C \tilde{X}=0
$$

Newton's method will converge to stabilizing solution, Newton-ADI can be employed (with modification for indefinite constant term).

But: low-rank approximation of $\tilde{X}$ will not yield good approximation of $X \Rightarrow$ not feasible for large-scale problems!

## Idea

Perturb Hessian to enforce semi-definiteness: write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=A^{T} X+X A+Q-X G X=A^{T} X+X A+Q-X D X+X(D-G) X, \\
& \text { where } D=G+\alpha I \geq 0 \text { with } \alpha \geq \min \left\{0,-\lambda_{\max }(G)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Idea

Perturb Hessian to enforce semi-definiteness: write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=A^{T} X+X A+Q-X G X=A^{T} X+X A+Q-X D X+X(D-G) X \\
& \text { where } D=G+\alpha I \geq 0 \text { with } \alpha \geq \min \left\{0,-\lambda_{\max }(G)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here: $G=B_{2} B_{2}^{T}-B_{1} B_{1}^{T}$
$\Rightarrow$ use $\alpha=\left\|B_{1}\right\|^{2}$ for spectral/Frobenius norm or

$$
\alpha=\left\|B_{1}\right\|_{1} \cdot\left\|B_{1}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

## Remark

$W \geq-G$ can be used instead of $\alpha l$, e.g., $W=\beta B_{1} B_{1}^{T}$ with $\beta \geq 1$.
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## Idea

Perturb Hessian to enforce semi-definiteness: write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=A^{T} X+X A+Q-X G X=A^{T} X+X A+Q-X D X+X(D-G) X, \\
& \text { where } D=G+\alpha I \geq 0 \text { with } \alpha \geq \min \left\{0,-\lambda_{\max }(G)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lyapunov iteration

Based on

$$
(A-D X)^{\top} X+X(A-D X)=-Q-X D X-\alpha X^{2}
$$

iterate
FOR $k=0,1, \ldots$, solve Lyapunov equation

$$
\left(A-D X_{k}\right)^{T} X_{k+1}+X_{k+1}\left(A-D X_{k}\right)=-Q-X_{k} D X_{k}-\alpha X_{k}^{2} .
$$

AREs with
Indefinite Hessian
$H_{\infty}$-Control
Lyapunov Iterations/Perturbed Hessian
Approach

Lyapunov iteration
FOR $k=0,1, \ldots$, solve Lyapunov equation

$$
\left(A-D X_{k}\right)^{T} X_{k+1}+X_{k+1}\left(A-D X_{k}\right)=-Q-X_{k} D X_{k}-\alpha X_{k}^{2} .
$$

Easy to convert to low-rank iteration employing low-rank ADI for Lyapunov equations, e.g. with $W=B_{1} B_{1}^{T}$ instead of $\alpha I$ : the Lyapunov equation becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(A-B_{2} B_{2}^{T} Y_{k} Y_{k}\right)^{T} Y_{k+1} Y_{k+1}^{T}+Y_{k+1} Y_{k+1}^{T}\left(A-B_{2} B_{2}^{T} Y_{k} Y_{k}\right) \\
& =-C C^{T}-Y_{k} Y_{k}^{T} B_{1} B_{1}^{T} Y_{k} Y_{k}^{T}-Y_{k} Y_{k}^{T} B_{2} B_{2}^{T} Y_{k} Y_{k}^{T} \\
& =-\left[C, Y_{k} Y_{k}^{T} B_{1}, Y_{k} Y_{k}^{T} B_{2}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
C^{T} \\
B_{1}^{T} Y_{k} Y_{k}^{T} \\
B_{2}^{T} Y_{k} Y_{k}^{T}
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lyapunov Iterations/Perturbed Hessian Approach
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Theorem [Cherfi/Abou-Kandil/Bourles 2005]
If

- $\exists \hat{X}$ such that $\mathcal{R}(\hat{X}) \geq 0$,
- $\exists X_{0}=X_{0}^{\top} \geq \hat{X}$ such that $\mathcal{R}\left(X_{0}\right) \leq 0$ and $A-D X_{0}$ is Hurwitz, then
a) $X_{0} \geq \ldots \geq X_{k} \geq X_{k+1} \geq \ldots \geq \hat{X}$,
b) $\mathcal{R}\left(X_{k}\right) \leq 0$ for all $k=0,1, \ldots$,
c) $A-D X_{k}$ is Hurwitz for all $k=0,1, \ldots$,
d) $\exists \quad \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} X_{k}=: \underline{X} \geq \hat{X}$,
e) $\underline{X}$ is semi-stabilizing.


## Main problems

- Conditions for initial guess make its computation difficult.
- Observed convergence is linear.
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## Main problems
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Theorem [Cherfi/Abou-Kandil/Bourles 2005]
If

- $\exists \hat{X}$ such that $\mathcal{R}(\hat{X}) \geq 0$,
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## Main problems

- Conditions for initial guess make its computation difficult.
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- $\exists X_{0}=X_{0}^{\top} \geq \hat{X}$ such that $\mathcal{R}\left(X_{0}\right) \leq 0$ and $A-D X_{0}$ is Hurwitz, then
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## Theorem [Cherfi/Abou-Kandil/Bourles 2005]

If

- $\exists \hat{X}$ such that $\mathcal{R}(\hat{X}) \geq 0$,
- $\exists X_{0}=X_{0}^{\top} \geq \hat{X}$ such that $\mathcal{R}\left(X_{0}\right) \leq 0$ and $A-D X_{0}$ is Hurwitz, then
a) $X_{0} \geq \ldots \geq X_{k} \geq X_{k+1} \geq \ldots \geq \hat{X}$,
b) $\mathcal{R}\left(X_{k}\right) \leq 0$ for all $k=0,1, \ldots$,
c) $A-D X_{k}$ is Hurwitz for all $k=0,1, \ldots$,
d) $\exists \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} X_{k}=: \underline{X} \geq \hat{X}$,
e) $\underline{X}$ is semi-stabilizing.


## Main problems

■ Conditions for initial guess make its computation difficult.

- Observed convergence is linear.


## Riccati Iterations

[Lanzon/Feng/B.D.O. Anderson 2007 (Proc. ECC 2007)]

Idea
Consider

$$
A^{T} X+X A+C^{T} C+X\left(B_{1} B_{1}^{T}-B_{2} B_{2}^{T}\right) X=: \mathcal{R}(X) .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}(X+Z)= & \mathcal{R}(X)+(\underbrace{A+\left(B_{1} B_{1}^{T}-B_{2} B_{2}^{T}\right) X}_{=: \hat{A}})^{T} Z+Z \widehat{A} \\
& +Z\left(B_{1} B_{1}^{T}-B_{2} B_{2}^{T}\right) Z .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, if $X=X^{\top}, Z=Z^{\top}$ solve the standard ARE

$$
0=\mathcal{R}(X)+\widehat{A}^{\top} Z+Z \widehat{A}-Z B_{2} B_{2}^{\top} Z,
$$

then

$$
\mathcal{R}(X+Z)=Z B_{1} B_{1}^{\top} Z
$$

## Riccati Iterations

[Lanzon/Feng/B.D.O. Anderson 2007 (Proc. ECC 2007)]

## Idea

Consider

$$
A^{T} X+X A+C^{T} C+X\left(B_{1} B_{1}^{T}-B_{2} B_{2}^{T}\right) X=: \mathcal{R}(X) .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}(X+Z)= & \mathcal{R}(X)+(\underbrace{A+\left(B_{1} B_{1}^{T}-B_{2} B_{2}^{T}\right) X}_{=: \hat{A}})^{T} Z+Z \widehat{A} \\
& +Z\left(B_{1} B_{1}^{T}-B_{2} B_{2}^{T}\right) Z .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, if $X=X^{T}, Z=Z^{T}$ solve the standard ARE

$$
0=\mathcal{R}(X)+\widehat{A}^{T} Z+Z \widehat{A}-Z B_{2} B_{2}^{T} Z
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}(X+Z) & =Z B_{1} B_{1}^{T} Z \\
\|\mathcal{R}(X)\|_{2} & =\left\|B_{1}^{\top} Z\right\|_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Riccati Iterations

[Lanzon/Feng/B.D.O. Anderson 2007 (Proc. ECC 2007)]

## Idea

Consider

$$
A^{T} X+X A+C^{T} C+X\left(B_{1} B_{1}^{T}-B_{2} B_{2}^{T}\right) X=: \mathcal{R}(X) .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}(X+Z)= & \mathcal{R}(X)+(\underbrace{A+\left(B_{1} B_{1}^{T}-B_{2} B_{2}^{T}\right) X}_{=: \hat{A}})^{T} Z+Z \widehat{A} \\
& +Z\left(B_{1} B_{1}^{T}-B_{2} B_{2}^{T}\right) Z .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, if $X=X^{T}, Z=Z^{T}$ solve the standard ARE

$$
0=\mathcal{R}(X)+\widehat{A}^{T} Z+Z \widehat{A}-Z B_{2} B_{2}^{T} Z
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}(X+Z) & =Z B_{1} B_{1}^{T} Z \\
\|\mathcal{R}(X)\|_{2} & =\left\|B_{1}^{T} Z\right\|_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Riccati iteration

1 Set $X_{0}=0$.
2. FOR $k=1,2, \ldots$,
(i) Set $A_{k}:=A+B_{1}\left(B_{1}^{T} X_{k}\right)-B_{2}\left(B_{2}^{T} X_{k}\right)$.
(ii) Solve the ARE

$$
\mathcal{R}\left(X_{k}\right)+A_{k}^{T} Z_{k}+Z_{k} A_{k}-Z_{k} B_{2} B_{2}^{T} Z_{k}=0
$$

(iii) Set $X_{k+1}:=X_{k}+Z_{k}$.
(iv) IF $\left\|B_{1}^{T} Z_{k}\right\|_{2}<$ tol THEN Stop.

Remark. ARE for $k=0$ is the standard LQR/ $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ARE.

## Riccati Iterations

[Lanzon/Feng/B.D.O. Anderson 2007 (Proc. ECC 2007)]

Theorem [Lanzon/Feng/B.D.O. Anderson 2007]
If

- $\left(A, B_{2}\right)$ stabilizable,
$\square(A, C)$ has no unobservable purely imaginary modes, and
■ $\exists$ stabilizing solution $X_{-}$,
then
a) $\left(A+B_{1} B_{1}^{T} X_{k}, B_{2}\right)$ stabilizable for all $k=0,1, \ldots$,
b) $Z_{k} \geq 0$ for all $k=0,1, \ldots$,
c) $A+B_{1} B_{1}^{T} X_{k}-B_{2} B_{2}^{T} X_{k+1}$ is Hurwitz for all $k=0,1, \ldots$,
d) $\mathcal{R}\left(X_{k+1}\right)=Z_{k} B_{1} B_{1}^{T} Z_{k}$ for all $k=0,1, \ldots$,
e) $X_{-} \geq \ldots \geq X_{k+1} \geq X_{k} \geq \ldots \geq 0$.
f) If $\exists \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} X_{k}=: \underline{X}$, then $\underline{X}=X_{-}$, and
g) convergence is locally quadratic.
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## Riccati iteration - low-rank version [B. 2008]

1 Solve the ARE

$$
C^{T} C+A^{T} Z_{0}+Z_{0} A-Z_{0} B_{2} B_{2}^{T} Z_{0}=0
$$

using Newton-ADI, yielding $Y_{0}$ with $Z_{0} \approx Y_{0} Y_{0}^{T}$.
[2 Set $R_{1}:=Y_{0}$.

$$
\left\{\% R_{1} R_{1}^{T} \approx X_{1} .\right\}
$$

3 FOR $k=1,2, \ldots$,
(i) Set $A_{k}:=A+B_{1}\left(B_{1}^{T} R_{k}\right) R_{k}^{T}-B_{2}\left(B_{2}^{T} R_{k}\right) R_{k}^{T}$.
(ii) Solve the ARE

$$
Y_{k-1}\left(Y_{k-1}^{T} B_{1}\right)\left(B_{1}^{T} Y_{k-1}\right) Y_{k-1}^{T}+A_{k}^{T} Z_{k}+Z_{k} A_{k}-Z_{k} B_{2} B_{2}^{T} Z_{k}=0
$$

using Newton-ADI, yielding $Y_{k}$ with $Z_{k} \approx Y_{k} Y_{k}^{T}$.
(iii) Set $R_{k+1}:=\operatorname{rrqr}\left(\left[R_{k}, Y_{k}\right], \tau\right)$.
$\left\{\% R_{k+1} R_{k+1}^{T} \approx X_{k+1}\right\}$
(iv) IF $\left\|\left(B_{1}^{T} Y_{k}\right) Y_{k}^{T}\right\|_{2}<$ tol THEN Stop.

## AREs with Indefinite Hessian

Numerical example
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- Trivial example $(n=2)$ from [Cherfi/Abou-Kandil/Bourles 2005].
- Compare convergence of Lyapunov and Riccati iterations.
$■$ Solution of standard AREs with Newton's method.
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- Trivial example $(n=2)$ from [Cherfi/Abou-Kandil/Bourles 2005].

■ Compare convergence of Lyapunov and Riccati iterations.
■ Solution of standard AREs with Newton's method.


## Software

Lyapack
[Penzl 2000]
Matlab toolbox for solving

- Lyapunov equations and algebraic Riccati equations,
- model reduction and LQR problems.

Main work horse: Low-rank ADI and Newton-ADI iterations.
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Lyapack
[Penzl 2000]
Matlab toolbox for solving

- Lyapunov equations and algebraic Riccati equations,
- model reduction and LQR problems.

Main work horse: Low-rank ADI and Newton-ADI iterations.

## MESS - Matrix Equations Sparse Solvers [Saak/Mena/B. 2008]

- Extended and revised version of LyAPACK.
- Includes solvers for large-scale differential Riccati equations (based on Rosenbrock and BDF methods).
- Many algorithmic improvements:
- new ADI parameter selection,
- column compression based on RRQR,
- more efficient use of direct solvers,
- treatment of generalized systems without factorization of the mass matrix.
- C version CMESS under development (Martin Köhler).
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## Conclusions and Open Problems

■ Galerkin projection can significantly accelerate ADI iteration for Lyapunov equations.
■ Low-rank Newton-ADI is a powerful and reliable method for solving large-scale AREs with semidefinite Hessian.

- Low-rank Galerkin-QADI may become a viable alternative to Newton-ADI.
- High-rank constant terms in ARE can be handled using quadrature rules.
- Software is available in Matlab toolbox Lyapack and its successor MESS.
- Low-rank Riccati iteration yields a reliable and efficient method for large-scale AREs with indefinite Hessian, useful, e.g., for $H_{\infty}$ optimization of PDE control problems.
- Low-rank Lyapunov iteration is an extremely simple variant for large-scale problems, but exhibits slower convergence and requires difficult-to-compute initial value.


## Conclusions and Open Problems

- Galerkin projection can significantly accelerate ADI iteration for Lyapunov equations.
■ Low-rank Newton-ADI is a powerful and reliable method for solving large-scale AREs with semidefinite Hessian.
- Low-rank Galerkin-QADI may become a viable alternative to Newton-ADI.
- High-rank constant terms in ARE can be handled using quadrature rules.
- Software is available in Matlab toolbox Lyapack and its successor MESS.

■ Low-rank Riccati iteration yields a reliable and efficient method for large-scale AREs with indefinite Hessian, useful, e.g., for $H_{\infty}$ optimization of PDE control problems.

- Low-rank Lyapunov iteration is an extremely simple variant for large-scale problems, but exhibits slower convergence and requires difficult-to-compute initial value.
- To-Do list:
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- computation of stabilizing initial guess.
(If hierarchical grid structure is available, a multigrid approach is possible, other approaches based on "cheaper" matrix equations under development.)
- Implementation of coupled Riccati solvers for LQG controller design and balancing-related model reduction.


## Conclusions and Open Problems

- To-Do list:
... for AREs with semidefinite Hessian:
- computation of stabilizing initial guess.
(If hierarchical grid structure is available, a multigrid approach is possible, other approaches based on "cheaper" matrix equations under development.)
- Implementation of coupled Riccati solvers for LQG controller design and balancing-related model reduction.
... for AREs with indefinite Hessian:
- Implement Riccati iteration in LyAPACK/MESS style.
- More numerical tests.
- Re-write Riccati iteration as feedback iteration.
- Efficient computation of initial value for Lyapunov iterations?
- $\exists$ perturbed Hessian so that Lyapunov iteration quadratically convergent?
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