Coarse Grid Correction for the Neumann–Neumann Waveform Relaxation Method

Felix Kwok

Section de mathématiques Université de Genève

Innovative Space-Time Parallel Methods University of Manchester, June 18, 2013



Collaborators

- Martin J. Gander (Genève)
- Sébastien Loisel (Heriot-Watt)
- Kévin Santugini (Bordeaux)



Model problem

Problem : Given spatial domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, solve in parallel

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u + f & \text{on } \Omega \times (0, T], \\ &u(0, t) = g & \text{on } \partial \Omega \times (0, T], \\ &u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & \text{on } \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

- Discretization in time + domain decomposition in space
- Parallelization in time (Parareal, PFASST, RDIC)
- Waveform relaxation (WR)

l



Waveform relaxation method



- Originally invented for systems of ODEs (Picard 1890, Lindelöf 1894)
- Revived for circuit simulation by Lelarasmee, Ruehli & Sangiovanni-Vincentelli (1982)
- Applied to parabolic PDEs by Gander & Zhao (1997, 2002), Gander & Stuart (1998), Giladi & Keller (2002)





 Two subdomains with overlap δ, Dirichlet transmission conditions





- ▶ For *k* = 1, 2, 3, . . . :
 - 1. Solve space-time subdomain problems
 - 2. Exchange interface data





- Advantages :
 - Flexible gridding and time-stepping within subdomains
 - Fewer synchronization points
 - Natural incoporation of parallelization in time





For unbounded time interval (Gander & Stuart 1998)

$$\frac{\|\boldsymbol{v}^{k}-\boldsymbol{v}^{*}\|}{\|\boldsymbol{v}^{0}-\boldsymbol{v}^{*}\|} \leq (1-C\delta)^{k} \sim e^{-Ck\delta}$$





► For bounded time interval *T* (Giladi & Keller 2002) :

$$\frac{\|\boldsymbol{v}^{\boldsymbol{k}}-\boldsymbol{v}^*\|}{\|\boldsymbol{v}^0-\boldsymbol{v}^*\|} \leq C \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{k\delta}{\sqrt{T}}\right) \sim \frac{\sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{\pi}k\delta} e^{-(k\delta)^2/T}$$





▶ For bounded time interval *T* (Giladi & Keller 2002) :

$$\frac{\|\boldsymbol{v}^{\boldsymbol{k}}-\boldsymbol{v}^*\|}{\|\boldsymbol{v}^0-\boldsymbol{v}^*\|} \leq C \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{k\delta}{\sqrt{T}}\right) \sim \frac{\sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{\pi}k\delta} e^{-(k\delta)^2/T}$$

- Convergence depends on $k\delta \approx kh$
- Half the mesh size \implies double the number of iterations





Originally developed for the elliptic problem

$$\Delta u = f$$

(Bourgat et al. 1989, DeRoeck & Le Tallec 1990, Le Tallec et al. 1991)



Neumann–Neumann (NN) Method







Given Dirichlet traces g_1^0, \ldots, g_{n-1}^0 :

1. Solve Dirichlet problems on $\Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_n$





- 1. Solve Dirichlet problems on $\Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_n$
- 2. Calculate jumps in Neumann traces





- 1. Solve Dirichlet problems on $\Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_n$
- 2. Calculate jumps in Neumann traces
- 3. Solve Neumann problems on $\Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_n$





- 1. Solve Dirichlet problems on $\Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_n$
- 2. Calculate jumps in Neumann traces
- 3. Solve Neumann problems on $\Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_n$
- 4. Update Dirichlet traces :

$$g_i^{k+1} = g_i^k - \theta(\psi_i^k|_{\Gamma_i} + \psi_{i+1}^k|_{\Gamma_i}).$$



Convergence



- Conditioning for steady-state, coercive problems :
 - Without coarse grid (DeRoeck & Le Tallec 1990) :

$$\kappa \leq rac{C}{H^2} (1 + \log(H/h))^2 \implies \text{Error} \approx e^{-Ck/H(1 + \log(H/h))}$$

With coarse grid (Dryja & Widlund 1995) :

 $\kappa \leq C(1 + \log(H/h))^2 \implies \text{Error} \approx e^{-Ck(1 + \log(H/h))^2}$



Neumann–Neumann Waveform Relaxation



- Replace second coordinate by time !
- Convergence ?



Convergence of NNWR

Theorem (NNWR convergence, no coarse grid)

Let $g_j^0(t)$ be the initial error along the *j*th interface. If $\theta = 1/4$, then NNWR with initial error g_j^0 converges superlinearly, with

$$\max_{j} \|g_{j}^{k}\|_{\infty} \leq \Big(rac{\sqrt{6}}{1-e^{-(2k+1)H^{2}/T}}\Big)^{2k} e^{-(kH)^{2}/T} \max_{j} \|g_{j}^{0}\|_{\infty},$$

where H = minimum subdomain width.

- Proof uses Laplace transforms
- Compare with $e^{-(kh)^2/T}$ for classical Schwarz WR



1D example

• Two subdomains, $|\Omega_1| = 3$, $|\Omega_2| = 2$





1D example

Four equal subdomains





1D example





 Algorithm does not scale, needs coarse grid to enable communication between far-away subdomains



Coarse grid for 1D







Consider time discretized problem, e.g., Backward Euler :

$$\frac{u(x,t_m)-u(x,t_{m-1})}{\Delta t}=\Delta u(x,t_m)+f(x,t_m)$$





Consider time discretized problem, e.g., Backward Euler :

$$\frac{u(x,t_m)-u(x,t_{m-1})}{\Delta t}=\Delta u(x,t_m)+f(x,t_m)$$

Solve Dirichlet problems with g⁰_j(t_m), m = 1,..., T/∆t to get u⁰(x, t_m) (with jumps in normal derivative)



- Goal : find interface values of $e^0(x, t_m) = u(x, t_m) u^0(x, t_m)$
- e⁰ satisfies the homogeneous PDE on each subdomain
- e^0 must cancel Neumann jumps introduced by $u^0(x, t_m)$

$$\partial_{x} \boldsymbol{e}^{0}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{j}^{-}, t_{m}) - \partial_{x} \boldsymbol{e}^{0}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{j}^{+}, t_{m}) = -(\partial_{x} \boldsymbol{u}^{0}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{j}^{-}, t_{m}) - \partial_{x} \boldsymbol{u}^{0}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{j}^{+}, t_{m}))$$



- Goal : find interface values of $e^0(x, t_m) = u(x, t_m) u^0(x, t_m)$
- e⁰ satisfies the homogeneous PDE on each subdomain
- e^0 must cancel Neumann jumps introduced by $u^0(x, t_m)$

$$\partial_x e^0(\Gamma_j^-, t_m) - \partial_x e^0(\Gamma_j^+, t_m) = \mathbf{r}$$





- Goal : find interface values of $e^0(x, t_m) = u(x, t_m) u^0(x, t_m)$
- e⁰ satisfies the homogeneous PDE on each subdomain
- e^0 must cancel Neumann jumps introduced by $u^0(x, t_m)$

$$L\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{r}$$

where **e** is the interface values of e^0 .



1D Example with coarse grid, N = 16



•
$$u_{ex}(x,t) = \cos(x)\cos(t), g_i^0(t) = 0$$



1D Example with coarse grid, N = 16



•
$$u_{ex}(x, t) = \cos(x)\cos(t), g_i^0(t) = 0$$



What does *L* look like?



Causality : L is block lower triangular





- Causality : L is block lower triangular
- Time invariance : L has constant block diagonals





- Causality : L is block lower triangular
- Time invariance : L has constant block diagonals
- Locality : The blocks of L are sparse





- Causality : L is block lower triangular
- Time invariance : L has constant block diagonals
- Locality : The blocks of L are sparse





- Causality : L is block lower triangular
- Time invariance : L has constant block diagonals
- Locality : The blocks of L are sparse
- Calculate correction by forward substitution
- Blocks can be computed in parallel and assembled





Coarse grid contains all interface points





- Coarse grid contains all interface points
- Problem : dense coupling between points \implies dense A!





- Coarse grid contains all interface points
- Problem : dense coupling between points \implies dense A!
- Must choose a coarse space with fewer points



Precondition



where *M* is the Neumann–Neumann preconditioner for $-\Delta \mathbf{u}(t_{k+1}) + \frac{1}{\Delta t}\mathbf{u}(t_{k+1}) = \frac{1}{\Delta t}\mathbf{u}(t_k)$ with coarse grid.

 Expect same asymptotic convergence rate as for stationary problem

$$(\eta - \Delta)u = 0$$
 with $\eta = 1/\Delta t$.



A standard coarse space



- Hat functions centered at cross points
- Precondition A by projection :

$$P = V(V^T A V)^{-1} V^T A$$



Standard coarse space, 8×8 subdomains





Standard coarse space, 8×8 subdomains



Hat functions are poor approximations of discontinuous functions !



A discontinuous coarse space



- Piecewise linear functions along edges
- Discontinuous across cross points
- Also used for elliptic problems (Gander, Halpern & Santugini 2012)



Discontinuous coarse space, 8×8 subdomains





Discontinuous coarse space, 4×4 subdomains





Discontinuous coarse space, 4×4 subdomains



Slower convergence since

$$\kappa \approx C(1 + \log(H/h))^2$$



Conclusion

- NNWR with no coarse grid
 - converges superlinearly, BUT...
 - error grows initially for many subdomains.
- Optimal coarse grid in 1D
 - cheap,
 - convergence in 2 iterations.
- Coarse grid in 2D
 - standard coarse grid not enough,
 - use discontinuous, piecewise linear coarse space.
- Ongoing work
 - Coarse space for 3D?
 - Superlinear convergence for coarse grids?

